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5.1 Information on corporate officers at 31 December 2015

Chairman and CEO

Martin Bouygues
32 avenue Hoche, 75008 Paris, France
Date of birth: 3 May 1952 – French
Date of first appointment: 21 January 1982
Expiry date of current term of office: 2018
Number of shares in the company: 347,196 (77,057,778 via SCDM)

Expertise/experience
Martin Bouygues joined the Bouygues group in 1974 as a works supervisor. In 1978, he established Maison Bouygues, specialising in the sale of catalogue homes. In 1987, Martin Bouygues was appointed Vice-Chairman of the Bouygues Board of Directors, on which he has served since 1982. On 5 September 1989, Martin Bouygues took over from Francis Bouygues as Chairman and CEO of Bouygues. At Martin Bouygues’ instigation, the Group pursued its development in construction as well as in media (TF1) and launched Bouygues Telecom in 1996. In 2006, Bouygues acquired a stake in Alstom.

Principal positions outside Bouygues SA
Chairman of SCDM.

Other positions and functions in the Group
In France: Director of TF1; member of the Board of Directors of the Francis Bouygues Foundation.

Other positions and functions outside the Group
In France: Member of the supervisory board and the strategy committee of Paris-Orléans; standing representative of SCDM and Chairman of Actiby and SCDM Participations.
Outside France: Member of the Board of Directors of the Skolkovo Foundation (Russia).

Former positions and functions during the last five years (outside the Group)
2015 – Standing representative of SCDM and Chairman of SCDM Invest-3.

Deputy CEO

Olivier Bouygues
32 avenue Hoche, 75008 Paris, France
Date of birth: 14 September 1950 – French
Date of first appointment: 5 June 1984
Expiry date of current term of office: 2016
Number of shares in the company: 531 (70,057,778 via SCDM)

Standing representative of SCDM and director

Expertise/experience
Olivier Bouygues is a graduate of École Nationale Supérieure du Pétrole (ENSPM) and joined the Bouygues group in 1974. He began his career in the Group civil works branch. From 1983 to 1988 at Bouygues Offshore, he held the posts of director of Boscam, a Cameroon subsidiary, then director of the France Works and Special Projects division. From 1988 to 1992, he was Chairman and CEO of Maison Bouygues. In 1992, he became Group Executive Vice President of Utilities Management, which grouped the international and French activities of Saur. In 2002, Olivier Bouygues was appointed Deputy CEO of Bouygues.

Principal positions outside Bouygues SA
CEO of SCDM.

Other positions and functions in the Group
In France: Director of TF1, Colas, Bouygues Telecom and Bouygues Construction.
Outside France: Chairman of the Board of Directors of Bouygues Europe (Belgium).

Other positions and functions outside the Group
In France: Director of Alstom; Chairman of Sagri-E and Sagri-F.
Outside France: Director of SCDM Energy Limited; Chairman and CEO of Seci (Ivory Coast).

Former positions and functions during the last five years (outside the Group)
2015 – Chairman of SCDM Énergie; director of Eranove (former-Firagement), Sodeci (Ivory Coast), CIE (Ivory Coast) and Sénégalaise des Eaux (Senegal); liquidator of SIR.
2014 – Director of Eurosport.
2011 – Standing representative of SCDM, Chairman of SCDM Énergie; non-partner manager of Sib.
Directors

Michel Bardou

1 avenue Eugène Freyssinet 78280 Guyancourt, France
Date of birth: 4 April 1955 – French
Date of first appointment: 20 May 2014
Expiry date of current term of office: 2016

Director representing employees
Member of the Remuneration Committee

Expertise/experience
Michel Bardou is an engineering graduate of École Spéciale des Travaux Publics (ESTP). He joined the Habitat unit, new housing methods, of the Bouygues group in January 1982. He then took charge of new technical departments (cost analysis and design office). As a member of the Health & Safety Committee, he set up an accident prevention department for Habitat Social. He is currently in charge of Research and Development at Bouygues Bâtiment Île-de-France – Habitat Social.

Principal positions outside Bouygues SA
Innovation Director at Bouygues Bâtiment Île-de-France – Habitat Social.

François Bertière

3 boulevard Gallieni, 92130 Issy-les-Moulineaux, France
Date of birth: 17 September 1950 – French
Date of first appointment: 27 April 2006
Expiry date of current term of office: 2018
Number of shares in the company: 56,293

Expertise/experience
François Bertière graduated from École Polytechnique and École Nationale des Ponts et Chaussées, and is a qualified architect (DPLG). He began his career in 1974 in the Infrastructure Ministry. In 1977, he was appointed technical advisor to the office of the French Ministry of Education, then deputy director in charge of planning at the Regional Infrastructure Development of Upper Corsica in 1978. In 1981, he became director of urban development at the Public Development Agency (EPA) of Cergy-Pontoise. He joined the Bouygues group in 1985 as Deputy CEO of Française de Constructions. In 1988, he was appointed Chairman and CEO of France Construction, Vice-Chairman and CEO of Bouygues Immobilier in 1999, then Chairman and CEO of Bouygues Immobilier in 2001. François Bertière has been a director of Bouygues Immobilier since 1991.

Principal positions outside Bouygues SA
Chairman and CEO of Bouygues Immobilier.

Other positions and functions in the Group
In France: Director of Colas; Chairman and director of the Bouygues Immobilier Corporate Foundation; director of the Francis Bouygues Foundation.

Other positions and functions outside the Group
Director of CSTB (French building technology research centre); Chairman of Fondation des Ponts; director of École Nationale des Ponts et Chaussées (ENPC), Cité de l’Architecture et du Patrimoine and Fonds de dotation “Les Technologies de l’Homme”.

Jean-Paul Chifflet

75 bis, rue Vaneau, 75007 Paris, France
Date of birth: 3 September 1949 – French
Date of first appointment: 25 April 2013
Expiry date of current term of office: 2016
Number of shares in the company: 500

Member of the Selection Committee

Expertise/experience
Jean-Paul Chifflet is a graduate of Institut des Hautes Finances in Paris. He joined the Crédit Agricole group in 1973 where he was successively head of sales coordination at Crédit Agricole du Sud-Est Regional Bank, Corporate Secretary at Crédit Agricole de la Drôme Regional Bank then at Crédit Agricole du Sud-Est Regional Bank, head of development and credit at Crédit Agricole du Sud-Est Regional Bank, and deputy CEO of Crédit Agricole Ain – Saône & Loire Regional Bank and then Crédit Agricole Centre-Est Regional Bank. In 1997, he was appointed head of relations with the Regional Banks at Caisse Nationale de Crédit Agricole (CNCA) and was appointed CEO of Crédit Agricole Centre-Est in 2000. From 2006 to 2010, Jean-Paul Chifflet served as corporate secretary of Fédération Nationale du Crédit Agricole (FNCA), Vice-Chairman of SAS Rue La Boétie, and a director of Calyon, LCL and Siparex Associés. From 2007 to 2010, he sat on the Conseil Économique et Social. He was Chairman of Fédération Bancaire Française (FBF) from July 2012 to July 2013 and CEO of Crédit Agricole SA from March 2010 to May 2015.

Principal positions outside Bouygues SA
Chairman of the Board of Directors of Amundi Group.

Other positions and functions outside the Group
Outside France: Chairman of CA Indosuez (Switzerland) SA (Switzerland).

Former positions and functions during the last five years (outside the Group)
2015 – Chairman of LCL, Crédit Agricole CIB, Crédit Agricole SA and member of the Executive Committee of Fédération Bancaire Française (FBF).
2013 – Chairman of Fédération Bancaire Française (FBF).

Raphaëlle Defl esselle

13-15 avenue du Maréchal Juin, 92360 Meudon, France
Date of birth: 27 April 1972 – French
Date of first appointment: 20 May 2014
Expiry date of current term of office: 2016

Director representing employees
Member of the Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee

Expertise/experience
Raphaëlle Defl esselle is an engineering graduate of École Polytechnique Féminine (EPF). She joined Bouygues Telecom in 1996. She took part in implementing network oversight tools in the network operations department. She then held various managerial positions in the Technical departments from 1999 to 2009. In 2010, she was appointed head of the Performance department within the Information Systems Division, before becoming head of IT infrastructures in 2013. She is currently Deputy Director, IT Governance, Research and Transformation within the Information Systems Division at Bouygues Telecom.

Principal positions outside Bouygues SA
Deputy Director, IT Governance, Research and Transformation within the Information Systems Division at Bouygues Telecom.
**Anne-Marie Idrac**

9 Place Vauban, 75007 Paris, France  
Date of birth: 27 July 1951 – French  
Date of first appointment: 26 April 2012  
Expiry date of current term of office: 2018  
Number of shares in the company: 531  

**Chairwoman of the Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee**  
and member of the Accounts Committee  

**Expertise/experience**  
Anne-Marie Idrac graduated from Institut d’Études Politiques de Paris (IEP) and École Nationale d’Administration (the Simone Weil intake). She has spent most of her career working in the fields of the environment, housing, urban development and transport. She was successively director general at the Public Development Agency (EPA) of Cergy-Pontoise, director of land transportation, Secretary of State for Transport, Chair and CEO of the RATP (Paris public transport authority), Chair of the SNCF (French state railways), and Secretary of State for Foreign Trade.  

**Principal positions outside Bouygues SA**  
Chair of the supervisory board of Toulouse-Blagnac Airport.  

**Other positions and functions outside the Group**  

- **In France:** Director of Total* and Saint-Gobain*; Senior Advisor for Suez Environnement* and Sia Partners.  
- **Former positions and functions during the last five years (outside the Group)**  
  - 2015 – Member of the supervisory board of Vallourec*.  
  - 2014 – Director of Mediobanca* (Italy).  

**Patrick Kron**

48 rue Albert Dhalenne, 93400 Saint-Ouen, France  
Date of birth: 26 September 1953 – French  
Date of first appointment: 6 December 2006  
Expiry date of current term of office: 2016  
Number of shares in the company: 500  

**Expertise/experience**  
Patrick Kron is a graduate of École Polytechnique and an engineer of the Corps des Mines de Paris. He began his career at the French Industry Ministry in 1979 before joining the Pechiney group in 1984, where he held senior operational responsibilities in one of the group’s largest factories in Greece before becoming manager of Pechiney’s Greek subsidiary in 1988. Between 1988 and 1993, Patrick Kron held various operational and financial positions, first managing a group of activities in aluminium processing, before being appointed Chairman and CEO of Pechiney Électrométallurgie. In 1993, he became member of the executive committee of the Pechiney group and was Chairman and CEO of Carbone Lorraine from 1993 to 1997. From 1995 to 1997, he ran Pechiney’s Food and Health Care Packaging Sector and held the position of COO of the American National Can Company in Chicago (United States). From 1998 to 2002, Patrick Kron was Chairman of the executive board of Imerys. A director of Alstom since July 2001, he was appointed CEO of Alstom in January 2003, and then Chairman and CEO in March 2003.  

**Principal positions outside Bouygues SA**  
Chairman and CEO of Alstom*.  

**Other positions and functions outside the Group**  

- **In France:** Director of Sanofi* and “Les Arts Florissants” vocal group.  
- **Former positions and functions during the last five years (outside the Group)**  
  - 2015 – Chairman of Alstom Resources Management; director of Afep (French Association of Private Companies).  
  - 2014 – Director and Managing Director of Alstom Asia Pte Ltd (Singapore); Chairman and CEO, and director of Alstom Transport.  
  - 2012 – Director of Alstom UK Holdings Ltd (United Kingdom).  

**Hervé Le Bouc**

7 Place René Clair, 92653 Boulogne-Billancourt cedex, France  
Date of birth: 7 January 1952 – French  
Date of first appointment: 24 April 2008  
Expiry date of current term of office: 2017  
Number of shares in the company: 2,090  

**Expertise/experience**  
Hervé Le Bouc holds a degree in engineering from École Spéciale des Travaux Publics (ESTP). He joined the Bouygues group in 1977 and began his career at Screg Île-de-France (now a Colas subsidiary) as a site engineer, subsequently working as an area supervisor and then a regional manager until 1989. In 1985, he was appointed Director reporting to the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. In 1989, he was named director in charge of commercial development of Bouygues Offshore for Europe, French overseas departments and territories (Dom-Tom) and Australia, and subsequently South East Asia and Mexico. He became COO of Bouygues Offshore in 1994, then CEO in 1996 and Chairman and CEO in 1999. From November 2001 to September 2002, he served concurrently as COO of Bouygues Construction, Chairman of the Board of Bouygues Offshore and Chairman of the Board of ETDE (now Bouygues Energies & Services). From September 2002 to February 2005, Hervé Le Bouc was CEO of Saur, then Chairman and CEO from February 2005 to April 2007. In February 2007, Hervé Le Bouc became a director of Colas and was named Deputy CEO in August of the same year. On 30 October 2007, he was appointed Chairman and CEO of Colas.  

**Principal positions outside Bouygues SA**  
Chairman and CEO of Colas*  

**Other positions and functions in the Group**  

- **In France:** Chairman and CEO, and director of Colasie; director of Bouygues Immobilier; standing representative of Colas* on the boards of Société Parisienne d’Études d’Informatique et de Gestion, Colas Midi Méditerranée and Screg Est; manager of Échangeur International; standing representative of SPP on the boards of Colas Sud-Ouest and Colas Nord-Picardie; Chairman of the Colas Foundation.  

(a) Listed company.
Outside France: Director of Hindustan Colas Limited (India), ColasCanada (Canada), Tipco Asphalt (Tasco) (Thailand), Isco Industry (Korean Republic) and Colas Inc (United States); standing representative of Colas* on the supervisory boards of Colas Émulsions (Morocco) and Grands Travaux Routiers (Morocco).

Former positions and functions during the last five years (outside the Group)
2014 – Standing representative of Colas * on the board of Cofiroute.

Helman le Pas de Sécheval
38 avenue Kléber, 75008 Paris, France
Date of birth: 21 January 1966 – French
Date of first appointment: 24 April 2008
Expiry date of current term of office: 2017
Number of shares in the company: 638

Chairman of the Accounts Committee and member of the Remuneration Committee

Expertise/experience
Helman le Pas de Sécheval is a graduate of École Normale Supérieure with a PhD in Physical Sciences and an engineering degree from École des Mines. He began his career in 1991 as a project manager in the financial engineering department of Banexi. From 1993 to 1997, he was deputy inspector-general of the underground quarries of Paris. In July 1997, he was appointed deputy head to the head of the Department of Financial Operations and Information of the COB (now the AMF), becoming head of this department in 1998. From November 2001 to December 2009, Helman le Pas de Sécheval was group Chief Financial Officer of Groupama, with responsibility for the group’s financing, investing, reinsurance and accounting divisions and oversight of the group’s financial subsidiaries as well as GIE Groupama Systèmes d’Information. From January 2010 to December 2011, he was Managing Director of Groupama Centre-Atlantique. In September 2012, he was appointed General Counsel of Veolia. He was appointed member of the Board of AMF in February 2015.

Principal positions outside Bouygues SA
General Counsel of the Veolia* group.

Other positions and functions outside the Group
In France: Member of the Board of AMF.

Former positions and functions during the last five years (outside the Group)
2011 – Vice-Chairman and director of Groupama Banque; director of Gan Assurances, Groupama Holding and Groupama Holding 2; standing representative of Groupama Centre-Atlantique on the board of GIE Groupama Systèmes d’Information; Managing Director of Centaure Centre-Atlantique; director of Silic*; standing representative of Groupama Centre-Atlantique on the board of GIE Groupama Supports & Services; standing representative of Groupama SA and co-manager of SCI d’Agassac; standing representative of Groupama SA Centre-Atlantique and co-manager of SCA d’Agassac; director of Groupama Assicurazioni S.p.A., former-Nuova Tirrena (Italy).

Colette Lewiner
Tour Europlaza, 20 avenue André Prothin, 92927 Paris-La Défense cedex, France
Date of birth: 19 September 1945 – French
Date of first appointment: 29 April 2010
Expiry date of current term of office: 2016
Number of shares in the company: 12,685

Chairwoman of the Remuneration Committee

Expertise/experience
Colette Lewiner is a graduate of École Normale Supérieure and holds the prestigious rank of “agrégée” teacher in physics, as well as a PhD in science. She spent a large part of her career with EDF, where she was the first woman to be appointed Senior Vice President within the group, with responsibility for development and marketing strategy. She went on to lead Cogema’s engineering subsidiary SGN. In 1998, she joined Capgemini, where she headed the Global Energy, Utilities and Chemicals sector, before becoming Advisor to the Chairman on matters regarding energy and utilities. Between 2010 and 2015, she was non-executive Chairwoman of TDF.

Principal positions outside Bouygues SA
Advisor to the Chairman of Capgemini* on matters regarding energy and utilities.

Other positions and functions in the Group
In France: Director of Colas*.

Other positions and functions outside the Group
In France: Director of Nexans*; Eurotunnel*; EDF* and Ingenico*.
Outside France: Director of Crompton Greaves Limited* (India)*.

Former positions and functions during the last five years (outside the Group)
2015 – Director of TGS Nopec Geophysical Company* (Norway); Chairwoman and member of the Board of Directors of TDF.
2014 – Director of Lafarge*.
2011 – Director of La Poste*.

Sandra Nombret
1 avenue Eugène Freyssinet, 78280 Guyancourt, France
Date of birth: 24 May 1973 – French
Date of first appointment: 29 April 2010
Expiry date of current term of office: 2016

Director representing employee shareholders and member of the Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee

Expertise/experience
Sandra Nombret has a DESS postgraduate diploma in foreign trade law. She joined the Bouygues group in 1997. She is currently Deputy Director, Legal Officer for the Near and Middle East, Africa, Central Asia, Canada and Cyprus at Bouygues Bâtiment International.

Principal positions outside Bouygues SA
Deputy Director, Legal Affairs at Bouygues Bâtiment International.

Other positions and functions in the Group
Director of Bouygues Building Canada Inc.

(a) Listed company.
(b) Directorship expiring in March 2016.
**Nonce Paolini**

1 quai du Point du Jour, 92656 Boulogne-Billancourt cedex, France  
Date of birth: 1 April 1949 – French  
Date of first appointment: 24 April 2008  
Expiry date of current term of office: 2017  
Number of shares in the company: 500  

**Expertise/experience**  
Nonce Paolini holds a Master of Arts degree and graduated from Institut d'Études Politiques de Paris (IEP) in 1972. He started his career at the French power and gas utility EDF-GDF, where he worked first in operational positions (customer service/sales and marketing), and then in senior management (organisation, training, human resources, corporate communications). He joined the Bouygues group in 1988 as human resources development director, then became the Group corporate communications director in 1990. He joined TF1 in 1993 as human resources director and became Deputy CEO of the TF1 group in 1999. In January 2002, he was appointed Senior Vice-President of Bouygues Telecom to head up sales and marketing, customer relations and human resources. Nonce Paolini became Deputy CEO in April 2004 and a director in April 2005.

Nonce Paolini has been CEO of TF1 since May 2007, and Chairman and CEO since July 2008.

**Principal positions outside Bouygues SA**  
Chairman and CEO of TF1.

**Other positions and functions in the Group**  
In France: Chairman of Monte Carlo Participation (MCP) and of the TF1 Corporate Foundation; director of Bouygues Telecom; standing representative of TF1 on the boards of Extension TV, TF1 – Acquisitions de Droits and Groupe AB.  
Outside France: Vice-Chairman and director of Télé Monte Carlo (TMC) (Monaco).

**Other positions and functions outside the Group**  
In France: Director of the Fnac group, standing representative of TF1 on the board of École de la Cité, du Cinéma et de la Télévision.  
Former positions and functions during the last five years (outside the Group)  
2012 – Chairman of Programmes Européens Francophones Audiovisuels Spéciaux 4.

**Jean Peyrelevade**

44 rue de Lisbonne, 75008 Paris, France  
Date of birth: 24 October 1939 – French  
Date of first appointment: 25 January 1994  
Expiry date of current term of office: 2016  
Number of shares in the company: 500  

**Chairman of the Selection Committee**  

**Expertise/experience**  
Jean Peyrelevade is a graduate of École Polytechnique and Institut d’Études Politiques de Paris (IEP), and is a senior civil aviation engineer. He was deputy head of the private office of the Prime Minister in 1981, and in 1983 became Chairman of Compagnie Financière de Suez and, at the same time, of Banque Indosuez. He was appointed Chairman and CEO of Banque Stern, then in 1988 became Chairman of UAP, before becoming Chairman of Crédit Lyonnais in 1993 for ten years. He was Chairman of the supervisory board of Leonardo & Co until December 2013. He is currently Chairman of the Board of Directors of Degroof Petercam France.

**Principal positions outside Bouygues SA**  
Chairman of the Board of Directors of Degroof Petercam France.

**Other positions and functions outside the Group**  
In France: Member of the supervisory board of Hime (Saur group).  
Outside France: Director of Bonnard et Gardel Holding SA (Switzerland); member of the supervisory board of KLM (Netherlands).  
Former positions and functions during the last five years (outside the Group)  
2015 – Partner of Aforge Degroof Finance.  
2013 – Chairman of Leonardo Midcap CF; Chairman of the supervisory board of Leonardo & Co; director of Leonardo & Co NV (Belgium).  
2012 – Chairman of the Board of Directors of Leonardo & Co.  
2011 – Director of DNCA Finance.

**François-Henri Pinault**

10 avenue Hoche, 75008 Paris, France  
Date of birth: 28 May 1962 – French  
Date of first appointment: 22 December 1998 (as standing representative of Financière Pinault)  
Date of second appointment: 13 December 2005 (in a personal capacity)  
Expiry date of current term of office: 2016  
Number of shares in the company: 500

**Member of the Remuneration Committee and of the Selection Committee**

**Expertise/experience**  
François-Henri Pinault is a graduate of École des Hautes Études Commerciales (HEC). He has spent his whole career within the Kering group (former-PPR). He was CEO of France Bois Industries from 1989 to 1990 and was appointed Chairman and CEO of Pinault Distribution in 1991. In 1993, he became Chairman of CFAO. He was appointed Chairman and CEO of Fnac in 1997, then Executive Vice-President of the Kering group and subsequently head of Internet activities and Chairman of the supervisory board of PPR-Interactive from 2000 to 2001. Since 1998, François-Henri Pinault has been a director, and since 2003 Chairman of the Board of Directors of Artémis. In 2005, he became Chairman of the Executive Board and then Chairman and CEO of Kering.

**Principal positions outside Bouygues SA**  
Chairman and CEO of Kering.

**Other positions and functions outside the Group**  
In France: Managing partner of Financière Pinault; Chairman of Artémis; Chairman of the supervisory board of Boucheron Holding; director of Sapardis and Soft Computing; Chairman of Yves Saint Laurent SAS; member of the management board of SC du Vignoble de Château Latour.
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Outside France: Non-executive director of Kering Holland NV and Kering Netherlands BV (Netherlands); member and Deputy Chairman of the Administrative Board of Puma SE *(Germany); Chairman and board member of Volcom Inc (United States); director of Kering International Ltd, Stella McCartney, Kering UK Services Limited (United Kingdom), Manufacture et Fabrique de Montres et de Chronomètres Ulysse Nardin Le Locle (Switzerland) and Kering Eyewear (Italy).

Former positions and functions during the last five years (outside the Group)
2015 – Chairman and director of Sowind Group (Switzerland); director of Brioni SPA *(Italy).
2014 – Board member of Christie’s International Plc (United Kingdom).
2013 – Chairman and member of the board of Gucci Group NV *(now Kering Holland NV); Chairman of the supervisory board of Yves Saint Laurent SAS; director of Fnac.
2012 – Vice-Chairman of the supervisory board of CFAO *.
2011 – Vice-Chairman and director of Sowind Group; Chairman and member of the board of Puma AG *.

Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe
33 rue Frémicourt, 75015 Paris, France
Date of birth: 7 February 1947 – French
Date of first appointment: 25 April 2013
Expiry date of current term of office: 2016
Number of shares in the company: 531

Member of the Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee

Expertise/experience
Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe is a graduate of École Normale Supérieure and École Nationale d’Administration, and holds the prestigious rank of “agrégée” teacher in philosophy. She is also a graduate of Institut d’Études Politiques de Paris (IEP). After holding various positions at the Labour Ministry, in 1986 Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe joined the Danone group, where she was Group Director of Human Resources. In 1996, she became Delegate General for Employment and Vocational Training, then Chief Executive Officer of Altédia in 2000. From 2002 to 2006, she was the Director General of Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris. From 2006 to 2011, she chaired the Korian management board. She is currently Chairwoman of the Board of Directors of Institut Pasteur.

Principal positions outside Bouygues SA
Chairwoman of the Board of Directors of Institut Pasteur.

Other positions and functions outside the Group
In France: Director of Klépierre *, CNP Assurances * and Fondation Hôpital Saint-Joseph; Chairwoman of the Board of Directors of Orchestre des Champs-Élysées.

Former positions and functions during the last five years (outside the Group)
2015 – Director of Casino *, member of the Conseil Supérieur de la Magistrature.
2014 – Director of Air France.
2011 – Chairwoman of the Korian * management board.

Michèle Vilain
3 boulevard Gallieni, 92130 Issy-les-Moulineaux, France
Date of birth: 14 September 1961 – French
Date of first appointment: 29 April 2010
Expiry date of current term of office: 2016

Director representing employee shareholders and member of the Accounts Committee

Expertise/experience
Michèle Vilain joined Bouygues Immobilier in 1989, holding various positions in the IT and Office Automation department, including responsibility for customer services. She then took charge of customer relations within the Support Functions division before becoming Deputy Director supporting change management within the Residential Property France division for two years. She is currently Deputy Director supporting Human Resources digital projects.

Principal positions outside Bouygues SA
Deputy Director for the Residential Property France division at Bouygues Immobilier.

SCDM
32 avenue Hoche, 75008 Paris, France
Date of first appointment: 22 October 1991
Expiry date of current term of office: 2016
Number of shares in the company: 70,057,778

Other positions and functions in the Group
In France: Director of GIE 32 Hoche.

Other positions and functions outside the Group
In France: Chair of Actiby and SCDM Participations.

Former positions and functions during the last five years (outside the Group)
2015 – Chair of SCDM Invest-3.
2011 – Chair of SCDM Énergie.
2010 – Chair of SCDM Investcan; SCDM Investur and SCDM Invest-1.

(a) Listed company.
5.2 Report by the Chairman of the Board of Directors on corporate governance, internal control and risk management

5.2.1 Corporate governance

5.2.1.1 Corporate Governance Code


Afep-Medef Code: waivers

The following table shows the provisions of the Afep-Medef Code that have been waived by the company and the reasons why:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provisions of the Afep-Medef Code waived</th>
<th>Explanation for waiver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Article 9.2, paragraph 2</td>
<td>See section 5.2.1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of independent directors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article 9.4</td>
<td>See section 5.2.1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director independence criteria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.1.2 Membership of the Board of Directors

Applicable rules

According to the articles of association, the Board of Directors includes:

- between three and 18 directors appointed by a general meeting of shareholders for a period of three years, in accordance with Article L. 225-18 of the Commercial Code;
- a maximum of two directors representing employee shareholders, elected by a general meeting for a period of three years at the proposal of the Supervisory Boards of the employee share ownership funds, in accordance with Article L. 225-23 of the Commercial Code;
- and two directors representing employees, appointed for a period of two years, in accordance with Article L. 225-27-1 of the Commercial Code, by the Group Council that is governed by Articles L. 2331-1 et seq. of the Labour Code.

The Board of Directors appoints one of its members as Chairman. It also appoints the Chief Executive Officer. At the proposal of the Chief Executive Officer, the Board of Directors can appoint one or more Deputy Chief Executive Officers.

The articles of association set no age limit for directors. However, the age limit of 70 is set for the functions of Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Deputy Chief Executive Officer. When a person serving in one of these functions reaches 65, his term is submitted to the Board of Directors at its next subsequent meeting for confirmation for a period of one year. The Board of Directors may then renew the term annually for one-year periods up to the age of 70, at which time the person steps down automatically.

The Rules of Procedure of the Board of Directors lay down certain imperatives regarding Board membership. They specify that the number of directors or standing representatives of legal entities coming from external companies in which a corporate officer or salaried director of Bouygues holds an executive position must not exceed two.
Reappointments are staggered de facto across three consecutive years.

**Membership of the Board of Directors at 31 December 2015**

13 directors appointed by the Annual General Meeting (Article L. 225-18 of the Commercial Code) — François Bertière, Martin Bouygues, Jean-Paul Chifflet, Anne-Marie Idrac, Patrick Kron, Hervé Le Bouc, Helman le Pas de Sécheval, Colette Lewiner, Nonce Paolini, Jean Peyrelevade, François-Henri Pinault, Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe, SCDM (represented by Olivier Bouygues)

2 directors representing employee shareholders (Article L. 225-23 of the Commercial Code) — Sandra Nombret, Michèle Vilain

2 directors representing employees (Article L. 225-27-1 of the Commercial Code) — Raphaëlle Deflesselle, Michel Bardou

**Overview of directors at 31 December 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Accounts Committee</th>
<th>Remuneration Committee</th>
<th>Selection Committee</th>
<th>Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee</th>
<th>Start of first term</th>
<th>End of current term</th>
<th>Years on the Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Executive directors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Bouygues, Chairman and CEO</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivier Bouygues, Deputy CEO, standing representative of SCDM</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1997*</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Directors considered independent by the Board (see section 5.2.1.3)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne-Marie Idrac</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Chair) •</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helman le Pas de Sécheval</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>(Chair) •</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colette Lewiner</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Chair) •</td>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Peyrelevade</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>(Chair) •</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>François-Henri Pinault</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td>2005b</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Directors representing employee shareholders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandra Nombret</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michèle Vilain</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Directors representing employees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphaëlle Deflesselle</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michel Bardou</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salaried directors from Bouygues business segments or Alstom</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>François Bertière</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Kron</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hervé Le Bouc</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonce Paolini</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other directors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCDM (represented by Olivier Bouygues)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean-Paul Chifflet</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) From 1984 to 1997, either in a personal capacity or as a standing representative.
(b) From 1998 to 2005 as a representative of Financière Pinault.
Directors' skills

The following table summarises the principal areas of expertise and experience of the directors. Information on their professional experience is set out in section 5.1 above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction activities, Transport</th>
<th>Media</th>
<th>Telecoms</th>
<th>Banking, Finance</th>
<th>International</th>
<th>CSR</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Executive directors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Bouygues</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivier Bouygues</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Directors considered independent by the Board (see section 5.2.1.3)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne-Marie Idrac</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helman le Pas de Sécheval</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colette Lewiner</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Peyrelevade</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>François-Henri Pinault</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Directors representing employee shareholders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandra Nombret</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michèle Vilain</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Directors representing employees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michel Bardou</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphaëlle Deflesselle</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salaried directors from Bouygues business segments or Alstom</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>François Bertière</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Kron</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hervé Le Bouc</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonce Paolini</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other directors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean-Paul Chifflet</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Property, Construction, Urban development, Concessions, Transport.
(b) Industry, Energy, Retail, IT, HR, Health.

Changes in the membership of the Board of Directors in 2015

During 2015, the membership of the Board of Directors decreased from 20 to 17 (including two directors representing employees and two directors representing employee shareholders).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Departure</th>
<th>Appointment</th>
<th>Renewal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15/03/2015</td>
<td>Yves Gabriel</td>
<td>François Bertière</td>
<td>Martin Bouygues (independent director)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23/04/2015</td>
<td>Mrs Francis Bouygues Georges Chodron de Courcel</td>
<td>Anne-Marie Idrac</td>
<td>Olivier Bouygues (Deputy CEO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/11/2015</td>
<td>Olivier Bouygues (Deputy CEO)</td>
<td>Michel Bardou (director representing employees)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proportion of independent directors on the Board increased (see 5.2.1.3).

Changes in the membership of the committees in 2015

In accordance with a recommendation of the Afep-Medef Code, the Board of Directors decided to appoint a director representing employees to the Remuneration Committee; accordingly, Michel Bardou was appointed. The membership of the Committee increased from three to four.

Remuneration Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Departure</th>
<th>Appointment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24/02/2015</td>
<td>Michel Bardou (director representing employees)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Board of Directors also decided to appoint Raphaëlle Defiesselle, a director representing employees, to the Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee. The membership of the Committee thus increased from three to four.

### Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Departure</th>
<th>Appointment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24/02/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>Raphaëlle Defiesselle (director representing employees)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, the membership of both the Accounts and Selection Committees decreased from four to three.

### Accounts Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Departure</th>
<th>Appointment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23/04/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>Georges Chodron de Courcel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Selection Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Departure</th>
<th>Appointment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23/04/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>Georges Chodron de Courcel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.2.1.3 Independent directors

**Assessing director independence**

In accordance with the recommendations of the Afep-Medef Code, after seeking the opinion of the Selection Committee, the Board of Directors at its meeting of 23 February 2016 determined the proportion of its members that were independent. It particularly reviewed each director’s situation in light of the independence criteria defined by the Afep-Medef Code, while attaching greater weight to substance than form.

The independence criteria applied by the Afep-Medef Code are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Criterion 1</td>
<td>Not being an employee or executive director of the company or an employee or director of its parent company or of a company that it consolidates; and not having been in such a position during the previous five years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criterion 2</td>
<td>Not being an executive director of a company in which the company holds a directorship, directly or indirectly, or in which an employee appointed as such or an executive director of the company (currently in office or having held such office going back five years) is a director.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criterion 3</td>
<td>Not being (or not being directly or indirectly related to) a customer, supplier, investment banker or commercial banker that is material for the company or its group, or for which the company or its group represents a significant part of its business.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criterion 4</td>
<td>Not being related by close family ties to a corporate officer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criterion 5</td>
<td>Not having been an auditor of the company within the previous five years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criterion 6</td>
<td>Not having been a director of the company for more than 12 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criterion 7</td>
<td>Not holding a significant percentage (more than 10%) of the company’s share capital or voting rights.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Board assessed the respective situations of Anne-Marie Idrac, Helman le Pas de Sècheval, Colette Lewiner, Jean Peyrelevade, François-Henri Pinault and Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe in light of each of the seven criteria.

**CRITERION 1 – NOT BEING AN EMPLOYEE OR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COMPANY OR AN EMPLOYEE OR DIRECTOR OF ITS PARENT COMPANY OR OF A COMPANY THAT IT CONSOLIDATES; AND NOT HAVING BEEN IN SUCH A POSITION DURING THE PREVIOUS FIVE YEARS.**

The Board considered that Anne-Marie Idrac, Helman le Pas de Sècheval, Jean Peyrelevade, François-Henri Pinault and Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe meet this criterion.

According to the Afep-Medef Code, “A director is independent when he or she has no relationship of any kind whatsoever with the company, its group or the management of either that is such as to colour his or her judgement. Accordingly, an independent director is to be understood not only as a non-executive director, i.e. one not performing management duties in the company or its group, but also as one devoid of any particular bonds of interest (significant shareholder, employee, other) with them.”

The Board observed that Colette Lewiner, a member of the Bouygues Board of Directors, is also a Director of Colas, a company owned 96.6% by Bouygues, which may create a conflict of interests during some of the Bouygues Board of directors’ deliberations.

In accordance with the guide for applying the Afep-Medef Code published in December 2015, the Board ensures that, if such were to be the case, the individual in question would refrain from taking part in deliberations and votes on the Bouygues Board of Directors. More generally, Colette Lewiner is required to respect the principles and rules in the Conflicts of Interest Compliance Programme adopted by the Board in 2014, the main provisions of which are set out in section 5.2.1.10 below.
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CRITERION 2 – NOT BEING AN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF A COMPANY IN WHICH THE COMPANY HOLDS A DIRECTORSHIP, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, OR IN WHICH AN EMPLOYEE APPOINTED AS SUCH OR AN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COMPANY (CURRENTLY IN OFFICE OR HAVING HELD SUCH OFFICE GOING BACK FIVE YEARS) IS A DIRECTOR.

The Board considered that Anne-Marie Idrac, Helman le Pas de Sécheval, Colette Lewiner, Jean Peyrelevade, François-Henri Pinault and Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe meet this criterion.

CRITERION 3 – NOT BEING (OR NOT BEING DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY RELATED TO) A CUSTOMER, SUPPLIER, INVESTMENT BANKER OR COMMERCIAL BANKER THAT IS MATERIAL FOR THE COMPANY OR ITS GROUP, OR FOR WHICH THE COMPANY OR ITS GROUP REPRESENTS A SIGNIFICANT PART OF ITS BUSINESS.

The Board ensured that none of the directors likely to be considered as an independent director was related directly or indirectly to a customer, supplier or bank that is material to Bouygues or a Bouygues group company. To this end, drawing on the work of the Selection Committee, the Board made a case-by-case assessment of the business relationships that may exist between Bouygues group companies and companies at which certain directors held professional functions or directorships at 23 February 2016.

Taking account of the recommendations of the AMF, the Board adopted a multi-criteria approach when considering material business relationships, while favouring a qualitative analysis. To this end, the Board took account of all the following criteria:

Qualitative criteria:
- the extent of the business relationship for each of the entities concerned (possible economic dependence between players, size of transactions, specificities of certain markets, direct interest of the legal entity concerned by the business relationship);
- the organisation of the relationship, and notably the position of the director concerned in the contracting company (length of directorship, existence of an operational function at the entity concerned, direct decision-making power over the contract, remuneration paid to the director in relation to the contract, etc.). In this respect, the Board notably referred to the definition of the Conflicts of Interest Compliance Programme that it approved in January 2014 and whereby, “There is a conflict of interest when the personal interests of an employee, senior executive or executive officer of the Group are in conflict with or compete with the interests of the Group company they work for. The concept of personal interests should be understood in the broadest sense of the term. It may involve the person’s direct interests (material or simply moral) as well as the interests of a closely associated person (someone in their immediate entourage or entity with whom/which they have direct or indirect relationships).” Additional recommendations on the management of conflicts of interest are given in section 5.2.1.10 below.

Quantitative criteria: the items below are summarised in a report to the Selection Committee:
- sales generated, where applicable, by Bouygues group entities with entities of the group to which the director is bound, by comparing this sales figure to that of the Bouygues group;
- the volume of purchases made, where applicable, by Bouygues group entities with the entities of the group to which the director is bound, by comparing this volume to the total volume of purchases of the Bouygues group.

The Selection Committee informed the Board of the results of its assessment.
Anne-Marie Idrac is Chair of the supervisory board of the Toulouse-Blagnac Airport concession company (since 2015). She is a director of Saint-Gobain (since 2011) and of Total (since 2012). She is a Senior Advisor for Suez Environnement and for Sia Partners.

The Board considered the following:
- Business relationships exist between Bouygues group entities and, respectively, the entities of the Saint-Gobain, Total and Suez Environnement groups, but the sales and volume of purchases generated as part of the business relationships represent only a very low share of the respective business of the groups in question.
- There exists no relationship of economic dependence, exclusivity or overweight in the sectors concerned by the business relationships between the Bouygues group and the groups in question.
- The business relationships occur in the normal course of business as part of a conventional competitive environment.
- The business relationships do not directly concern Bouygues SA but only certain subsidiaries or sub-subsidiaries.
- Anne-Marie Idrac holds no operational function at the entities concerned. She has no decision-making power in terms of the selection of service providers or the attribution, implementation or management of contracts constituting business relationships.
- She receives no remuneration and has no personal interest related to the contracts concerned.
- The directorships or functions she holds at the entities concerned are relatively recent.

Colette Lewiner is a director of Nexans (since 2004), Eurotunnel (since 2011), Crompton Greaves Limited (India) (from 2013 to March 2016), EDF (since 2014) and Ingenico (since 2015). She is also Advisor to the Chairman of Capgemini, a company where she has spent most of her career.

The Board considered the following:
- Business relationships exist between Bouygues group entities and entities of the Capgemini, Nexans and Eurotunnel groups, but the sales and volume of purchases generated as part of the business relationships represent only a very low share of the respective business of the groups in question.
- Business relationships between Bouygues group entities and the entities of the EDF group are more material, given that a certain number of projects are ongoing. As such, in 2015, Bouygues Construction generated 2.9% of its sales with the EDF group (versus 2.6% in 2014). However, the Board considered that these business relationships do not impair the independence of Colette Lewiner, in light of the information below.
- There exists no relationship of economic dependence, exclusivity or overweight in the sectors concerned by the business relationships between the Bouygues group and the groups in question.
- The business relationships occur in the normal course of business as part of a conventional competitive environment.
- Essentially, the business relationships do not directly concern Bouygues SA but only certain subsidiaries or sub-subsidiaries.
- The Bouygues SA Board of Directors in no way interferes in these business relationships.
- Colette Lewiner holds no operational function at the entities concerned. She has no decision-making power in terms of the selection of service providers or the attribution, implementation or management of contracts constituting business relationships.
- She receives no remuneration and has no personal interest related to the contracts concerned.

Helman le Pas de Sécheval is General Counsel of the Veolia group (since 2012). He is a member of the Board of AMF (since 2015).

The Board considered the following:
- Business relationships exist between Bouygues group entities and the entities of the Veolia group, but the sales and volume of purchases generated as part of the business relationships represent only a very low share of the respective business of the groups in question.
- There exists no relationship of economic dependence, exclusivity or overweight in the sectors concerned by the business relationships between the Bouygues group and the Veolia group.
- The business relationships occur in the normal course of business as part of a conventional competitive environment.
- The business relationships do not directly concern Bouygues SA but only certain subsidiaries or sub-subsidiaries.
- The Bouygues SA Board of Directors in no way interferes in these business relationships.
- Helman le Pas de Sécheval holds no operational function at the entities concerned. He has no decision-making power in terms of the selection of service providers or the attribution, implementation or management of contracts constituting business relationships.
- He receives no remuneration and has no personal interest related to the contracts concerned.
- The functions that he holds at Veolia are relatively recent.

Jean Peyrelevade is Chairman of the Board of Directors of Degroof Petercam France (since 2015). He is a member of the supervisory board of KLM (Netherlands) (since 2007), a director of Bonnard & Gardel Holding SA (Switzerland) (since 2008), and a member of the supervisory board of Hime (Saur group) (since 2013).

The Board considered the following:
- Business relationships exist between Bouygues group entities and the entities of the Saur group, but the sales and volume of purchases generated as part of the business relationships represent only a very low share of the respective business of the two groups.
- There exists no relationship of economic dependence, exclusivity or overweight in the sectors concerned by the business relationships between the Bouygues group and the Saur group.
- The business relationships occur in the normal course of business as part of a conventional competitive environment.
- The business relationships do not directly concern Bouygues SA but only certain subsidiaries or sub-subsidiaries.
- The Bouygues SA Board of Directors in no way interferes in these business relationships.
- Jean Peyrelevade holds no operational function at the entities concerned. He has no decision-making power in terms of the selection of service providers or the attribution, implementation or management of contracts constituting business relationships.
- He receives no remuneration and has no personal interest related to the contracts concerned.
François-Henri Pinault

François-Henri Pinault is the Chairman and CEO of Kering, managing partner of Financière Pinault, Chairman of the Board of Directors of Aréminis, and has directorships in various companies of the Kering group.

The Board considered the following:

- Business relationships exist between Bouygues group entities and the entities of the Kering group, but the sales and volume of purchases generated as part of the business relationships represent only a very low share of the respective business of the two groups.
- There exists no relationship of economic dependence, exclusivity or overweight in the sectors concerned by the business relationships between the Bouygues group and the Kering group.
- The business relationships occur in the normal course of business as part of a conventional competitive environment.
- The business relationships do not directly concern Bouygues SA but only certain subsidiaries or sub-subsidiaries.
- The Bouygues SA Board of Directors in no way interferes in these business relationships.
- François-Henri Pinault receives no remuneration and has no personal interest related to the contracts concerned.

Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe

Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe is Chairwoman of the Board of Directors of Institut Pasteur (since 2013) and of Orchestre des Champs-Elysées (since 2015). She is also a director of Fondation Hôpital Saint-Joseph (since 2011), Klépierre (since 2012), and CNP Assurances (since 2013).

The Board considered the following:

- Business relationships exist between Bouygues group entities and the entities of the Klépierre group, but the sales and volume of purchases generated as part of the business relationships represent only a very low share of the respective business of the two groups.
- There exists no relationship of economic dependence, exclusivity or overweight in the sectors concerned by the business relationships between the Bouygues group and the Klépierre group.
- The business relationships occur in the normal course of business as part of a conventional competitive environment.
- The business relationships do not directly concern Bouygues SA but only certain subsidiaries or sub-subsidiaries.
- The Bouygues SA Board of Directors in no way interferes in these business relationships.
- Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe holds no operational function at the Klépierre group. She has no decision-making power in terms of the selection of service providers or the attribution, implementation or management of contracts constituting business relationships.
- She receives no remuneration and has no personal interest related to the contracts concerned.
- The directorships or functions that she holds at the entities concerned are relatively recent.

In light of the above, the Board considered that the abovementioned business relationships are not, in terms of the aforementioned quantitative and qualitative criteria, of a material nature such as to create potential conflicts of interest or impair the independence of Anne-Marie Idrac, Colette Lewiner, Helman le Pas de Sécheval, Jean Peyrelevade, François-Henri Pinault and Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe. It is noted that, in any case, if a transaction with one of the entities concerned were to be examined by the Board, the director concerned would refrain from taking part in the debate and vote, in accordance with the provisions in the Rules of Procedure of the Board of Directors and the Conflicts of Interest Compliance Programme adopted in 2014, the provisions of which are set out in section 5.2.1.10 below.

CRITERION 4 – NOT BEING RELATED BY CLOSE FAMILY TIES TO A CORPORATE OFFICER.

The Board considered that Anne-Marie Idrac, Colette Lewiner, Helman le Pas de Sécheval, Jean Peyrelevade, François-Henri Pinault and Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe meet this criterion.

CRITERION 5 – NOT HAVING BEEN AN AUDITOR OF THE COMPANY WITHIN THE PREVIOUS FIVE YEARS.

The Board considered that Anne-Marie Idrac, Colette Lewiner, Helman le Pas de Sécheval, Jean Peyrelevade, François-Henri Pinault and Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe meet this criterion.

CRITERION 6 – NOT HAVING BEEN A DIRECTOR OF THE COMPANY FOR MORE THAN 12 YEARS.

The Board considered that Anne-Marie Idrac, Colette Lewiner, Helman le Pas de Sécheval and Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe meet this criterion.

It noted that Jean Peyrelevade and François-Henri Pinault have held seats for more than 12 years. A thorough examination was made of the character and career of these two directors. The Board considered that Jean Peyrelevade and François-Henri Pinault have consistently shown the greatest independence in the functions they have held. While Jean Peyrelevade carries out his professional duties at an investment bank, he holds no function that could place him in a situation of conflict of interest with Bouygues. The personal position of François-Henri Pinault, as Chairman and CEO of a world-ranking retail group, is a robust guarantee of independence.

In response to a remark by the High Committee for Corporate Governance on this last point, Martin Bouygues stressed that the Board of Directors was attentive to the risk that overly extended presence may dull the critical sense of directors. He noted that as Bouygues is a complex and diversified group, directors need some time to acquire in-depth knowledge of all the business segments and fully understand the strategic issues of all their markets. He stressed that the Afp-Medef Code urges boards of directors to not apply independence criteria in an abstract fashion. He also pointed out that, more than any other criteria, it is the strength of character, experience, skills and sense of responsibility that ensure the independent points of view required by the Board of Directors.

However, attentive to the importance placed by the High Committee of Corporate Governance and the AMF alike on the criterion of length of service, and in line with its commitments, the Board of Directors decided at its meeting of 23 February 2016 not to ask the Annual General Meeting of 21 April 2016 to renew the terms of office of directors of Jean Peyrelevade and François-Henri Pinault, which expire at the end of said meeting.

CRITERION 7 – NOT HOLDING A SIGNIFICANT PERCENTAGE (MORE THAN 10%) OF THE COMPANY’S SHARE CAPITAL OR VOTING RIGHTS.

The Board considered that Anne-Marie Idrac, Colette Lewiner, Helman le Pas de Sécheval, Jean Peyrelevade, François-Henri Pinault and Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe meet this criterion.
Table summarising the classification of directors

The table below shows each director’s situation in light of the independence criteria, as stated above, and the classification chosen by the Board.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 1</th>
<th>Criterion 2</th>
<th>Criterion 3</th>
<th>Criterion 4</th>
<th>Criterion 5</th>
<th>Criterion 6</th>
<th>Criterion 7</th>
<th>Classification chosen by the Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Martin Bouygues</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Not independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivier Bouygues</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Not independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michel Bardou</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>(Representing employees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>François Bertière</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Not independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean-Paul Chifflet</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Not independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphaëlle Deflesselle</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>(Representing employees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne-Marie Idrac</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Kron</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Not independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hervé Le Bouc</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Not independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helman le Pas de Sècheval</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colette Lewiner</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandra Nombret</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>(Representing employee shareholders)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonce Paolini</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Not independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Peyrelevade</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>François-Henri Pinault</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michèle Vilain</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>(Representing employee shareholders)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proportion of independent directors

The Rules of Procedure of the Bouygues Board of Directors also specify that at least one third of directors must be independent within the meaning of the Afep-Medef Code.

At 23 February 2016, without taking account of directors representing employees or employee shareholders, in accordance with Article 9.2 of the Afep-Medef Code, six of the 13 directors were independent, representing a proportion of 46%. This proportion was 37.5% at 31 December 2014.

According to Article 9.2, paragraph 2 of the Afep-Medef Code, “Independent directors must represent at least half of all Board members in widely held companies with no controlling shareholder. In companies with a controlling shareholder (within the meaning of Article L. 233-3 of the Commercial Code) independent directors must represent at least one third of all Board members. Directors representing employee shareholders and directors representing employees are not included when calculating the proportion of independent directors”.

In response to a remark by the High Committee for Corporate Governance on this last point, Martin Bouygues drew its attention to the fact that the company is not “controlled” within the meaning of Article L. 233-3 of the Commercial Code and that its capital is not “widely held” either. At 31 December 2015, SCDM and the employee share ownership funds, long-term investors, held 41.84% of the share capital and 56.56% of the voting rights. As such, the Board of Directors considered that the proportion of one third of independent directors was adapted to the capital structure of Bouygues and sufficient to prevent any conflicts of interest and ensure the proper governance of the company. The Board also paid particular attention to the quality of the independent directors whose appointment is submitted for approval by the Annual General Meeting.

In the future, as current terms of office expire, the Board will continue to change its membership so as to increase the proportion of independent directors.

5.2.1.4 Gender balance on the Board and committees

At 31 December 2015, without taking account of directors representing employees, as set forth in Article L. 225-27-1 I of the Commercial Code and Article 6.4 of the Afep-Medef Code, but taking account of directors representing employee shareholders, five of the 15 directors on the Board were women, or a proportion of 33%.

In accordance with the recommendations of the Afep-Medef Code, the Board will seek to increase the proportion of women on the Board to at least 40% as from the Ordinary General Meeting held in 2016.

At the end of the Annual General Meeting of 21 April 2016, if the appointments to the Board and the term-of-office renewals are adopted, the proportion of women with seats on the Board will reach 42.86% (6/14), with the stipulation that, in accordance with the provisions of the Commercial Code and of the Afep-Medef Code, directors representing employees are not taken into account in this assessment.

The Board also ensures balanced gender representation on its committees. As at 31 December 2015, seven of the 14 committee members were women, or a proportion of 50%.
5.2.1.5 Management bodies

The law stipulates that the Board should elect one of its individual members as Chairman to organise and direct the Board’s work and ensure the smooth running of the company’s management bodies. The Board entrusts executive power over the company either to the Chairman of the Board of Directors or to another individual, who may or may not be a director, who has the title of Chief Executive Officer.

In April 2002, the Board of Directors opted not to separate the functions of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. It has consistently renewed that option; the last to date was in April 2015.

The Board considers that combining the positions of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer is a source of effective governance, particularly in view of the Bouygues group’s organisational structure: Martin Bouygues is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Bouygues, the Group’s parent company. He does not, however, have general management authority over the Group’s five business segments; this is vested in the senior management of its major subsidiaries: Bouygues Construction, Bouygues Immobilier, Colas, TF1 and Bouygues Telecom. Martin Bouygues does not therefore combine operational responsibility over these subsidiaries with his other duties. While Bouygues and its Chairman sometimes play an important role in projects that are essential for the Group, they do not replace the senior management of the Group’s business segments.

Martin Bouygues is Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer. Olivier Bouygues is Deputy Chief Executive Officer, and has the same powers as the Chief Executive Officer. At the end of the Combined Annual General Meeting held on 23 April 2015, the Board of Directors reappointed Martin Bouygues as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer for the period of his term of office as a director, i.e. until the end of the Ordinary General Meeting called to approve the 2017 financial statements. The Board also decided to reappoint Olivier Bouygues as Deputy Chief Executive Officer throughout Martin Bouygues’ term of office as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer.

In accordance with Article 17.2 of the articles of association, Olivier Bouygues having reached the age of 65 on 14 September 2015, the Board of Directors at its meeting of 12 November 2015 renewed his term of office as Deputy Chief Executive Officer for a one-year period, i.e. until 12 November 2016. The Board of Directors may then renew the term of office for one-year periods until the Deputy Chief Executive Officer reaches the age of 70, at which time the Deputy Chief Executive Officer steps down automatically.

There is no senior director or Vice-Chairman.

5.2.1.6 Restrictions on the powers of the Chief Executive Officer – Powers of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors has the powers and carries out the tasks laid down by law. In addition, the Rules of Procedure of the Board of Directors specify the following:

- the Board, assisted where applicable by an ad hoc committee, reviews and makes decisions on operations of strategic importance;
- the strategic priorities, business plans and the financing policy for the business segments and the Group are presented to the Board for approval;
- any operations considered to be of importance for the Group as a whole, including investments or organic growth, acquisitions outside the Group, divestments, and internal restructuring measures, must also be granted prior approval by the Board, particularly those that fall outside the scope of the business strategy announced by the company;
- the Board authorises major corporate finance operations carried out by way of public offering or private placement, as well as major guarantees and commitments;
- the Board monitors the quality of information provided to shareholders and the markets, particularly through the financial statements and in connection with major operations.

Furthermore, a number of practices have for several years contributed to ensuring the proper governance of the company and to limiting the powers of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. In this respect, the following best practices can be cited:

- the existence (since 2002) of Rules of Procedure setting forth the rules governing the operation of the Board of Directors and the rules of conduct applying to directors;
- the existence of specialised committees tasked with preparing the work of the Board in the following areas: determining the remuneration of senior executives (since 1995); accounting and auditing (since 1996); the selection of directors (since 1997); ethics, patronage (since 2001) and CSR (since 2014);
- the presence of a significant proportion of independent directors on the Board and on all the committees (see section 5.2.1.3);
- the presence of directors representing employee shareholders (since 1995) on the Board and (since 2013) on two committees (Accounts; Ethics, CSR and Patronage);
- the presence of directors representing employees (since 2014) on the Board and (since 2015) on two committees (Remuneration; Ethics, CSR and Patronage);
- annual meetings (since 2014) held between non-executive directors without executive directors or salaried directors being present, in particular to consider future management arrangements and assess the performance of the executive directors;
- the existence (since 2006) of a Code of Ethics and (since 2010) rules of conduct for directors;
- the existence (since 2014), at the initiative of the Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee, of four Compliance Programmes, including one on conflicts of interest and another on financial information and securities trading.
5.2.1.7 Rules of Procedure

At its meeting in September 2002 the Board adopted the Rules of Procedure to clarify the conditions under which its work is prepared and organised. These Rules of Procedure, which are reviewed regularly, have since been amended on numerous occasions in order to comply with changes in laws and regulations and to take account of recommendations issued by the AMF, changes to the Afep-Medef Code, as well as Bouygues’ own internal control principles. The main contents of the Rules of Procedure are summarised in this report. The full text can be downloaded from the company’s website www.bouygues.com under Group, Corporate governance, Board of Directors.

5.2.1.8 Operation of the Board of Directors

Convening notices, quorum and majority

The articles of association reiterate or stipulate the following rules: the Board of Directors meets as often as the company’s interests require, as convened by the Chairman, either at the registered office or at any other place; convening notices may be issued by any method, including verbally; the Board may only validly deliberate where at least half its members are in attendance; decisions are made on the basis of a majority of those members in attendance or represented; in the event of a tie, the Chairman of the meeting has the casting vote.

The Rules of Procedure stipulate that any director who participates in a Board meeting by video-conferencing, or any other telecommunications means with technical characteristics that allow directors to be identified and participate fully in the meeting, is deemed to be in attendance for the purposes of quorum and majority. In accordance with law, this provision does not apply to decisions on the preparation of the full-year parent company and consolidated financial statements or the management report.

Board meetings

The Rules of Procedure state that in principle the Board of Directors holds five ordinary meetings a year (January, February/March, May, August and November). In January, the Board reviews the estimated sales and earnings for the previous financial year; and the strategic priorities, business plans and the financing policy for the business segments and the Group are presented to it for approval. In February/March, the Board closes the financial statements for the previous financial year; in May, it closes the first-quarter financial statements; in August, it closes the first-half financial statements; in November, it closes the nine-month financial statements. Other Board meetings are held as the Group’s business requires.

The agenda for closing the financial statements is in three parts: business activity, accounting and legal affairs. A detailed review of each item is provided to each director.

The statutory auditors are systematically convened to all meetings at which the Board reviews full-year or first-half financial statements.

Persons who are not Board members, whether Bouygues group employees or not, may be invited to attend all or part of Board meetings.

Information provided to the Board of Directors

The Rules of Procedure stipulate that the Chairman or Chief Executive Officer must provide each director with all documents and information needed to fulfil his or her duties, including:

- the information needed to follow the progress of business activities and in particular sales figures and order books;
- the financial situation and in particular the company’s cash position and commitments;
- any event that materially affects the Group’s consolidated financial results or that may do so;
- material events in the human resources area and in particular changes in the workforce;
- major risks to the company, any change therein, and the steps taken to control them.

Each quarter, senior management presents a report on consolidated sales and earnings for the quarter just ended to the Board of Directors.

Each director may, at his or her own initiative, gather additional information; the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, the Deputy Chief Executive Officer, as well as the Chief Financial Officer and the Corporate Secretary, are always available to provide Board members with explanations and any other relevant information.

Directors may also meet with key senior executives of the company, including when the executive directors are not present, provided that the latter have been informed in advance.

Through their work and the reports they produce, the committees tasked by the Board with examining specific matters help to ensure that the Board is properly informed and prepared for the decisions it has to make (see section 5.2.1.9).

Directors continually receive all documents publicly issued by the company or its subsidiaries, and in particular all information intended for shareholders.

Directors may, if they wish, receive additional training in matters pertaining to the company and its businesses and sectors of activity. In accordance with law, the two directors representing employees benefit from at least 20 hours a year of training adapted to the performance of their duties.

Work of the Board in 2015

The Board of Directors met nine times in 2015. The attendance rate was 94%.

On 20 January 2015, the Board reviewed the three-year strategic priorities and business plans for the Group and its business segments. It reviewed the mapping of the Group’s major risks. It heard the report of the Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee. It reviewed a number of regulated agreements.

On the same date, the non-executive directors met, without the executive directors or salaried directors being present, with a view notably to assessing the executive directors and considering future management arrangements.

At its 24 February 2015 meeting, the Board reviewed business for 2014, and the outlook and objectives for the Group and its business segments for 2015. It examined the results of Alstom for the third quarter of FY2014/15. It reviewed the position of the Group’s business segments compared with that of their main competitors. After familiarising itself with the 2014 consolidated financial statements and parent company financial statements and hearing the Accounts Committee’s report and
the statutory auditors’ opinion, it closed the parent company financial statements, accounting and forecasting documents, the consolidated financial statements, the proposed appropriation of net profit, and the management report. After hearing the Remuneration Committee’s report concerning the variable portion of the remuneration of the two executive directors and the four senior executives of the business segments, remuneration and stock options granted in 2014, it adopted the section of the management report on the remuneration of corporate officers and the special report on stock options. It also signed off on the description of the share buyback programme in the management report. It approved the Report by the Chairman on corporate governance and internal control.

After hearing the Selection Committee’s report, the Board examined its membership in light of the issues of gender balance on the Board. It decided to ask the Annual General Meeting of 23 April 2015 to appoint Clara Gaymard and to renew the directorships of Martin Bouygues, François Bertière and Anne-Marie Idrac. It examined the membership of the committees.

Having familiarised itself with the Remuneration Committee’s report, the Board determined the variable remuneration of senior executives for 2014, and it signed off the rules for determining the remuneration of senior executives for 2015.

It decided to convene a Combined Annual General Meeting for 23 April 2015. It drew up the agenda and prepared the wording of the resolutions to be submitted to the Combined Annual General Meeting, together with its report on those resolutions.

At the same meeting, it renewed for one year the authority granted to Martin Bouygues and Olivier Bouygues to make decisions on issuing bonds, to repurchase or exchange existing Bouygues bonds, and to retain, cancel or resell repurchased bonds. It drew up the list of companies that fall within the scope of group tax election. It approved the wording of the press release.

On 16 March 2015, it amended the agenda of the Combined Annual General Meeting of 23 April 2015 in order to postpone the planned appointment of Clara Gaymard as a director.

On 23 April 2015, the Board met before the Annual General Meeting to draw up the responses to a written question submitted by a shareholder.

On 23 April 2015, the Board met after the Annual General Meeting to rule on the operating procedures of the senior management, reappoint Martin Bouygues as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and reappoint Olivier Bouygues as Deputy Chief Executive Officer. It decided on a new stock option plan for the Group’s senior executives and employees and drew up the list of beneficiaries. The Board delegated power to the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer to set the subscription price for options, in accordance with law.

On 12 May 2015, the Board reviewed the company’s business and financial statements at 31 March 2015. It familiarised itself with the Alstom group’s FY2014/15 results and outlook. It familiarised itself with bases for comparison between the Group and its competitors. It reviewed the action plans and the outlook and objectives for the Group and its business segments. Having heard the Accounts Committee’s report on the financial statements and the statutory auditors’ opinion, it closed the first-quarter financial statements. It approved the wording of the press release.

On 23 June 2015, the Board examined the situation and outlook for Bouygues Telecom; it examined an offer by the Altice group to acquire Bouygues Telecom. It decided not to follow up the offer.

On 28 August 2015, the Board reviewed the company’s key figures and business activity to 30 June 2015, bases for comparison between the Group and its competitors, as well as the outlook and objectives for 2015. Having heard the opinions of the Accounts Committee and the statutory auditors, it closed the first-half financial statements and approved the First- Half Financial Report. It renewed the authority granted to the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer to give guarantees, endorsements and sureties. It approved the wording of the press release.

On 12 November 2015, the Board reviewed the company’s business and financial statements to 30 September 2015 as well as the outlook and sales targets for 2015. It renewed the term of office of Olivier Bouygues as Deputy Chief Executive Officer. It familiarised itself with the conditions of the capital increase reserved for employees and approved the wording of the Board of Directors’ supplementary report. It carried out a self-assessment and considered company policy on equal opportunities and gender pay equality. It authorised a number of regulated agreements and reviewed the regulated agreements for which the effects continue over time. It approved the wording of the press release.

5.2.19 Board committees

Communities are tasked by the Board of Directors with studying matters submitted for their review by the Board or its Chairman, as well as any matters that may be assigned to them by law. Four Committees have been set up since 1995: the Remuneration Committee, the Accounts Committee, the Selection Committee, and the Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee.

Annexes to the Rules of Procedure, the content of which is indicated below, define the membership, remits and rules for the operation of the four committees. Executive directors and salaried directors of Bouygues cannot sit on the committees. The committees are chaired by independent directors.

The Board determines the membership and remits of the committees, which carry out their activities under the Board’s responsibility. The Board appoints committee members from among directors.

Accounts Committee

The Accounts Committee was formed in 1996.

MEMBERSHIP

At 31 December 2015, the members of the Accounts Committee were Helman le Pas de Sècheval (Chairman), Anne-Marie Idrac and Michèle Vilain. The proportion of independent directors on the Committee was 100%.

Two members of the Accounts Committee have extensive financial skills and experience: Helman le Pas de Sècheval was head of the Financial Operations and Information department of the Commission des Opérations de Bourse (COB, now the AMF), Chief Financial Officer of the Groupama group and Managing Director of Groupama Centre-Atlantique. He was appointed to the Board of AMF in February 2015. Anne-Marie Idrac was notably director general at the Public Development Agency (EPA) of Cergy-Pontoise, Chair and CEO of the RATP (Paris public transport authority), and Chair of the SNCF (French state railways).

(a) To calculate the percentage of independent directors on Board committees, directors representing employees or employee shareholders are not taken into account (Article 15 of the Afep-Medef Code).
The independence of the statutory auditors. This involves:

- The audit of the parent company and consolidated financial statements at least two days before they are presented to the Board;
- ensuring that the accounting methods used to draw up the financial statements are both relevant and consistent;
- reviewing the internal control procedures for the preparation of the financial statements, in conjunction with the relevant internal departments and advisors;
- reviewing any changes that have a material impact on the financial statement;
- reviewing the main accounting options used to close the financial statements and for making estimates and judgements, as well as the main changes in the scope of consolidation.

The process for preparing financial information. This involves:

- reviewing the parent company and consolidated financial statements at least two days before they are presented to the Board;
- ensuring that the accounting methods used to draw up the financial statements are both relevant and consistent;
- reviewing the internal control procedures for the preparation of the financial statements, in conjunction with the relevant internal departments and advisors;
- reviewing any changes that have a material impact on the financial statement;
- reviewing the main accounting options used to close the financial statements and for making estimates and judgements, as well as the main changes in the scope of consolidation.

- The effectiveness of internal control and risk management systems. This notably involves:
  - reviewing once a year the key risks faced by the company, any changes in those risks and steps taken to control them;
  - reviewing once a year the main risks relating to information systems;
  - reviewing once a year the summary of the company’s internal control assessment;
  - reviewing at least once a year the main accounting and financial risks faced by the company, any change therein, and the steps taken to control them;
  - ensuring that the head of the Internal Audit department presents, at least once a year, the department organisation chart, along with the audit plan and a summary of his or her reports and the action taken in light of his or her recommendations.

- The audit of the parent company and consolidated financial statements by the statutory auditors.

- The independence of the statutory auditors. This involves:
  - reviewing the breakdown of the fees paid by the company and Group companies to the statutory auditors’ network, and ensuring that they do not represent a proportion of the auditors’ revenue such that their independence may be impaired;
  - supervising the auditor selection and renewal procedure; making recommendations on statutory auditors proposed for appointment at general meetings.

In addition to carrying out general and regular checks, the Committee selects specific topics for in-depth review, such as the consequences of disposals or acquisitions. It checks the accounting treatment of the major risks incurred by Group companies, particularly country risk and, for example, at Bouygues Construction, risks involved in the execution of certain projects. The Committee pays particular attention to changes in accounting methods and to the main accounting options used to close the financial statements.

The Committee issues all reports and recommendations in relation to the above, both periodically when the financial statements are closed and as required by circumstances.

The Committee reviews the draft Report by the Chairman on internal control and risk management, and, if necessary, comments on this draft.

OPERATION

Members of the Accounts Committee receive information on accounting, financial and operational matters specific to the company when they are appointed.

Committee meetings are valid only if two members, including the Committee Chairman, are in attendance. The Committee meets at the initiative of its Chairman or at the request of the Chairman of the Board of Directors. It meets at least twice each year to review the first-half and full-year financial statements before they are submitted to the Board. The Committee Chairman draws up the agenda. The opinions put forward by the Committee are based on a simple majority. In the event of a tie, the Chairman holds the casting vote.

To carry out its duties, the Committee has access to all accounting and financial documents that it deems useful. It must also meet with the statutory auditors and directors of the company who are in charge of finance, accounting, cash management and internal audit without the company’s corporate officers being present.

The Committee also has the option of consulting external experts, as provided for in the Afep-Medef Code.

The statutory auditors provide the Accounts Committee with a summary of their work and of the accounting options used in preparing the financial statements.

The Committee meets the statutory auditors, without a company representative being present, at least once a year, to ensure that they were given full access to information and that they have all the resources they need to fulfil their duties.

During the review of the financial statements, the statutory auditors submit to the Accounts Committee a memorandum pointing out the essential aspects of the scope of consolidation, the findings of the statutory audit, notably adjustments and significant flaws in the internal control system identified during their work, and the accounting options chosen. The Chief Financial Officer provides the Committee with a memorandum describing the company’s risk exposure and any material off-balance sheet commitments.

Key recommendations made by the statutory auditors are covered by an action plan and monitoring procedures presented to the Accounts Committee and senior management at least once each year.

The Accounts Committee’s deliberations and the information provided to it are of a particularly confidential nature and must not be disclosed outside the Board of Directors. However, this rule does not impinge upon the financial reporting obligations incumbent upon listed companies.

The Committee reports on its work at the next subsequent meeting of the Board of Directors, in the form of reports that state the actions it has taken, its findings and any recommendations it may have. The Committee immediately informs the Board of any difficulties encountered in performing its duties.

WORK OF THE ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE IN 2015

The Accounts Committee met five times in 2015. The attendance rate was 95%.
The Accounts Committee reviewed, at least two days before they were presented to the Board, the quarterly, first-half and full-year parent company and consolidated financial statements, the draft First-Half Financial Report and corresponding draft press releases, as well as the section of the draft Report by the Chairman on internal control and risk management procedures. It also reviewed, among other things, the following subjects:

- accounting standards and rules applied by the Group;
- oversight of the statutory audit of the financial statements by the statutory auditors;
- monitoring fees paid to statutory auditors;
- proposal to renew the appointment of Mazars as auditor;
- the Group’s cash position;
- the internal control system of each business segment;
- mapping of the Group’s major risks;
- insurance policies;
- results of the self-assessment campaign as part of internal control; amendments to the Internal Control Reference Manual;
- reviewing measures relating to the prevention of psycho-social risks and to acquisitions;
- fraud reporting;
- audit plan, audit summary;
- table showing provisions;
- impact on the balance sheet of the sale by the Group of its interest in Eurosport France;
- impact of the new interpretation IFRIC 21;
- impact of the new levies introduced by the French public authorities;
- impairment testing of Bouygues’ interests in Alstom, TF1, Bouygues Telecom and Colas;
- sale by Bouygues of its stake in Eranove;
- tax adjustment on an employee share ownership operation;
- consequences of the European reform of external audits; proposal to renew the appointment of Ernst & Young Audit;
- Bouygues Construction: monitoring of major projects (Flamanville EPR, Pan American Games sports facilities in Canada, Gautrain rail link, French Ministry of Defence headquarters (Balard), Paris law courts complex, City of Music in Boulogne-Billancourt, Singapore Sports Hub, Hong Kong tunnel, Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao bridge, Chernobyl confinement shelter), sale of the stake in the A28 motorway concession company;
- Bouygues Immobilier: value of land inventory in Spain, arbitration proceedings in Spain, contract for the future headquarters of SMABTP; adjustment to the method of provisioning for guarantees granted;
- Colas: reorganisation of subsidiaries in France, Dunkirk refinery (SRD), power station in Slovakia, tax litigation in Canada;
- TF1: situation of LCI, cost of the 2014 FIFA World Cup Brazil™, tax on text messages, channel 23 broadcasting department, partial sale of Rugby World Cup broadcasting rights to the Canal+ group, situation of the Metronews freesheet, sale of the remaining stake in Eurosport;
- Bouygues Telecom: impacts of different litigation matters, impact of the network sharing agreement with SFR, impacts of the voluntary redundancy plan, amortisation of assets resulting from network sharing with SFR.

In the course of its duties, the Accounts Committee interviewed the Group’s CFO (regarding material risks and off-balance sheet commitments of the company), the Accounts and Audit Director, the audit manager, and the statutory auditors, without senior executives being present.

**Remuneration Committee**

**MEMBERSHIP**

At 31 December 2015, the members of the Remuneration Committee were Colette Lewiner (Chairwoman), Michel Bardou, Helman le Pas de Sécheval and François-Henri Pinault. The proportion of independent directors was 100%*. Michel Bardou, representing employees, was appointed as member of the Remuneration Committee on 24 February 2015.

**REMIT**

The Remuneration Committee was formed in 1995. In accordance with the recommendations of the Afep-Medef Code, it is responsible for:

- submitting proposals to the Board of Directors concerning the remuneration to be paid to executive directors as well as any benefits provided to them;
- proposing and overseeing the rules used to determine the variable portion of executive directors’ remuneration each year, and ensuring that the arrangements are consistent with their performance and with the company's medium-term strategy;
- proposing a general policy for awarding stock options, stipulating in particular that no discount may be offered on options awarded to Group senior executives, and in particular executive directors; the allotments must be proportional to senior executives’ merits and divided equitably between beneficiaries;
- reviewing the stock option plan(s) awarded to corporate officers and employees, and making recommendations to the Board on whether the option plans should concern new or existing shares;
- proposing remuneration and incentive arrangements for the Group’s senior executives;
- where stock options or performance shares are awarded to the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer or Deputy Chief Executive Officer, making recommendations on the number of shares resulting from the exercise of stock options or performance share grants that the beneficiary is required to retain until the end of his or her term of office;
- proposing the performance conditions applicable to the allotment and exercise of options or performance share grants to the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and/or the Deputy Chief Executive Officer;
- submitting each year to the Board of Directors the draft Reports on remuneration of corporate officers, and on stock options and performance shares.

---

*(a) To calculate the percentage of independent directors on Board committees, directors representing employees or employee shareholders are not taken into account (Article 15 of the Afep-Medef Code).*
OPERATION

The Committee meets at the initiative of its Chairman or at the request of the Chairman of the Board of Directors. Committee meetings are valid only where two members, including the Committee Chairman, are in attendance. The Committee Chairman draws up the agenda.

The opinions issued by the Committee are based on a simple majority. In the event of a tie, the Chairman holds the casting vote.

In the course of its work, the Committee may meet with the Chairman of the Board of Directors or any other person designated by the Chairman.

The Committee reports on its work at the next subsequent meeting of the Board of Directors. When the report on the work of the Remuneration Committee is presented to it, the Board of Directors deliberates without executive directors being present.

WORK OF THE REMUNERATION COMMITTEE IN 2015

The Remuneration Committee met twice in 2015. The attendance rate was 87.50%.

In February 2015, the Remuneration Committee reviewed the calculation of the variable component of the remuneration of business segment senior executives. It proposed to round out the criteria used for determining the variable component of remuneration for 2015 and subsequent years by adding a new quantitative criterion relating to the current operating margin and a new qualitative criterion relating to CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility) development. It proposed to retain, for the two executive directors, the rules for determining the variable component of remuneration for 2015. It reviewed the Group’s remuneration policy. It examined the conditions under which senior executives received supplementary retirement benefit. It examined the advantages and disadvantages of the new profit-sharing schemes. It proposed to maintain the stock option system at this stage by reducing the vesting period to two years and extending the end of the exercise period to ten years. It examined the impacts on defined-benefit supplementary pension schemes of the Macron bill for growth, activity and equality of economic opportunity. It recommended setting up a new stock option plan following the Annual General Meeting of 23 April 2015. The Committee also examined and put to the Board reports on the remuneration of corporate officers and the grant and exercise of stock options during 2014.

Selection Committee

MEMBERSHIP

At 31 December 2015, the members of the Selection Committee were Jean Peyrelevade (Chairman), Jean-Paul Chifflet and François-Henri Pinault. Two of its members, or two-thirds, are qualified as independent by the Board.

REMIT

The Selection Committee was formed in 1997. In accordance with the recommendations of the Afep-Medef Code, it is responsible for:

- periodically reviewing issues related to the membership, organisation and operation of the Board of Directors in order to make proposals to the Board;
- reviewing for this purpose:
  - candidacies for directorships and non-voting directorships, taking care to ensure that at least one third of Board members are independent directors,
  - plans to form Board committees and proposed lists of their remits and members;
- giving an opinion on appointments to the Board and on term-of-office renewals or removals from office of a director or an executive director presented to the Board;
- considering solutions for replacing executive directors in the event of an unforeseen vacancy.

The Selection Committee pays particular attention to the mix of skills, experience and knowledge of the Group business segments that each candidate will need to make an effective contribution to the Board’s work.

OPERATION

Committee meetings are valid only if two or more of its members, including its Chairman, are in attendance. The Committee meets at the initiative of its Chairman or at the request of the Chairman of the Board of Directors. The Committee Chairman draws up the agenda.

The Chairman of the Board of Directors is involved in the Committee’s proceedings. In the course of its work, the Committee may meet with any candidates it considers suitable for positions to be filled.

The opinions issued by the Selection Committee are based on a simple majority. Where only two members are in attendance at a Committee meeting, the Chairman has the casting vote.

The Committee reports on its work at the next subsequent meeting of the Board of Directors.

WORK OF THE SELECTION COMMITTEE IN 2015

The Selection Committee met once in 2015. The attendance rate was 75%.

After examining the Board’s membership and checking the gender balance, the Selection Committee gave a positive opinion on the reappointment as directors of Martin Bouygues, François Bertiére and Anne-Marie Idrac. The Committee confirmed that Anne-Marie Idrac, Helman le Pas de Sécheval, Colette Lewiner, Jean Peyrelevade, François-Henri Pinault and Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe were independent directors. It reviewed the Corporate governance section of the draft Report by the Chairman presented at the Combined Annual General Meeting of 23 April 2015.

Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee

MEMBERSHIP

At 31 December 2015, the members of the Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee were Anne-Marie Idrac (Chairwoman), Raphaëlle Deflesselle, Sandra Nombret and Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe. Anne-Marie Idrac and Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe are independent directors. Raphaëlle Deflesselle, representing employees, was appointed as member of the Committee on 24 February 2015. The proportion of independent directors was 100%.

(a) To calculate the percentage of independent directors on Board committees, directors representing employees or employee shareholders are not taken into account (Article 15 of the Afep-Medef Code).
IN 2015 WORK OF THE ETHICS, CSR AND PATRONAGE COMMITTEE

The Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee, formed in March 2001, has the following responsibilities:

- in the field of ethics, the Committee:
  - helps define the rules of conduct or principles underpinning corporate behaviour applicable to senior executives and employees alike,
  - makes recommendations or gives an opinion on initiatives aimed at promoting best practices in this area,
  - ensures compliance with the values and rules of conduct thus defined;
- in the field of CSR (since 2014):
  - reviews at least once a year Group issues in environmental, human resources and social responsibility,
  - gives its opinion to the Board on the report on CSR as required by Article L. 225-102-1 of the Commercial Code;
- in the field of patronage, the Committee:
  - sets rules or makes recommendations that must be followed by Bouygues,
  - gives its opinion to the Chairman of the Board on patronage initiatives identified by Bouygues when they represent a significant financial investment,
  - ensures that its recommendations are implemented and that these initiatives are properly carried out.

The Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee also gives the Board an opinion on the report on the human resources and environmental consequences of the company’s business, as required by Article L. 225-102-1 of the Commercial Code.

OPERATION

The Committee meets at the initiative of its Chairman or at the request of the Chairman of the Board of Directors. In the course of its work, the Committee may meet with the Chairman of the Board of Directors or any other person designated by him. The Committee reports on its work at the next subsequent meeting of the Board of Directors.

WORK OF THE ETHICS, CSR AND PATRONAGE COMMITTEE IN 2015

The Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee met five times in 2015. The attendance rate was 100%.

In the field of patronage, after reviewing numerous projects proposed to Bouygues, the Committee gave a favourable opinion on the commencement or continuation of some 40 patronage initiatives of a humanitarian, medical, social and cultural nature. The main patronage activities are described in chapter 3 of this Registration Document. In all, the different entities of the Group donate some €50 million a year, of which around €30 million to €35 million in free advertising space donated by TF1 to charitable organisations.

In the field of ethics, the Committee also familiarised itself with ongoing legal proceedings. The Committee reviewed the mechanisms implemented to prevent and detect anti-competitive or unethical business practices. It monitored the implementation within the business segments of the four Compliance Programmes introduced in 2014 to formalise and develop the prevention of non-compliant practices in the areas of competition, corruption (see chapter 3, section 3.4.4.1), financial information and securities trading, and conflicts of interest (see section 5.3 below). It ensured that progress was made in this respect.

In the field of CSR, for which the Committee has responsibility since 2014, it reviewed the strategies and initiatives led within the Group.

5.2.1.10 Ethical conduct

The directors of Bouygues are required to comply with all the rules of conduct listed in Articles 19 and 20 of the Afep-Medef Code and the Code of Conduct appended to the Rules of Procedure of the Board of Directors. These documents are also available on the Bouygues website.

The Code of Conduct includes detailed requirements regarding directors’ duty to be informed, regular attendance, reducing multiple directorships, preventing conflicts of interest, holding shares in the company, confidentiality, and detailed measures for preventing insider dealing.

The Compliance Programmes approved in January 2014 by the Board of Directors set forth rules relating in particular to ethical conduct in securities trading and the prevention of conflicts of interest.

Rules related to regular attendance and multiple directorships

The Code of Conduct states that directors must devote the necessary time and attention to their functions. They must attend and participate regularly in the meetings of the Board and of any committees of which they are a member. Directors’ fees include a variable component of 70% related to regular attendance (see section 5.4.1.3).

All directors are required to comply with the instructions set out in the Commercial Code governing multiple directorships in Sociétés Anonymes (public limited companies), as well as the recommendations of the Afep-Medef Code according to which:

- executive directors must not agree to hold more than two directorships in listed companies, including foreign companies, outside their group; they must also seek the opinion of the Board before accepting another directorship in a listed company;
- directors must not agree to hold more than four directorships in listed companies, including foreign companies, outside their group. This recommendation applies to the appointment or the renewal of a term of office as director;
- directors must inform the Board of directorships held in other companies, including their involvement in the board committees of these French or foreign companies. As far as the Board of Directors is aware, all these rules are respected by the directors.*

In 2015, the attendance rate at Board and Committee meetings was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Board of Directors (9 meetings)</th>
<th>Accounts Committee (5 meetings)</th>
<th>Remuneration Committee (2 meetings)</th>
<th>Selection Committee (1 meeting)</th>
<th>Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee (5 meetings)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Martin Bouygues</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivier Bouygues</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michel Bardou*</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>François Bertière</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean-Paul Chifflet</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphaëlle Deflesselle*</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne-Marie Idrac</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Kron</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hervé Le Bouc</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helman le Pas de Sécheval</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colette Lewiner</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandra Nombret</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonce Paolini</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Peyrelevade</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>François-Henri Pinault</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose-Marie Van Lerberghe</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michèle Vilain</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Michel Bardou was appointed as member of the Remuneration Committee on 24 February 2015.
(b) Raphaëlle Deflesselle was appointed as member of the Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee on 24 February 2015.

Rules on the prevention of conflicts of interest

The Code of Conduct sets forth specific measures for preventing conflicts of interest. Moreover, the Board of Directors adopted a compliance programme on conflicts of interest at its 21 January 2014 meeting. The aim of this programme is to cover situations in which a Bouygues group employee or senior executive faces a conflict of interest in connection with his or her professional activity or his or her directorship.

The provisions of the Conflicts of Interest Compliance Programme relating to directors and corporate officers are as follows:

“Directors and executive officers of all Group companies are required to pay special care and attention to conflicts of interest.”

“7.1 Specific regulations (called “regulated agreements”) deal with conflict of interest issues that may arise between the company and its senior executives — Chief Executive Officer, Deputy CEO, director, chairman of a simplified limited company (Société par Actions Simplifiée – SAS), etc. — as a result of (i) agreements between them and the company; (ii) agreements in which the senior executives may indirectly have an interest, or (iii) agreements between two companies with common senior executives.”

These rules must be strictly applied within the Group. Legal departments should make sure that the regulations on regulated agreements and the Bouygues group Internal Charter on Regulated Agreements are strictly observed.”

“7.2 Directors and executive officers should inform their board of directors of any conflict of interest situation, even potential, between their duties to the company and their private interests. The chairman of a board may, at any time, ask the directors and non-voting directors to provide a written statement confirming that they are not in a conflict of interest situation.”

“7.3 Directors must not take part in the vote on any issue that concerns them directly or indirectly. In some cases, this obligation to refrain from taking part in the vote may even require the relevant person not to attend the meetings and not to have sight of the documents about the issue in question.”

“7.4 Directors and executive officers must not engage in an activity that would place them in a conflict of interest situation nor must they hold interests in a client, supplier or rival company if such an investment could influence their behaviour in the performance of their duties.”

The same provisions are set out in the Rules of Procedure of the Board of Directors, which also stipulate that “The Chairman of the Board may ask directors at any time to confirm in writing that they are not subject to any conflict of interest.”

To date, the company is aware of the following potential conflicts of interest:

- major shareholders of the Group (SCDM and Group employee shareholders) are directly or indirectly represented on the Board of Directors by Martin Bouygues, Olivier Bouygues, Sandra Nombret and Michèle Vilain;
potential conflicts of interest exist because some of the directors hold directorships in other companies. The list of directorships is set out above (see section 5.1);
- Martin Bouygues and Olivier Bouygues have family ties. The company is not aware of other family ties between Board members;
- François Bertière, Hervé Le Bouc and Nonce Paolini are bound to the company by employment contracts. Sandra Nombret, Michèle Vilain, Raphaëlle Delfissé and Michel Bardou are bound by employment contracts to Bouygues subsidiaries;
- François Bertière, Martin Bouygues, Olivier Bouygues, Colette Lewiner, Hervé Le Bouc and Nonce Paolini hold directorships in Bouygues subsidiaries.

As far as the company is aware, and subject to the agreement between SCDM and Bouygues, none of the members of the Board of Directors is linked to the company or any of its subsidiaries by a service agreement providing for benefits.

As far as the company is aware, to date there are no other potential conflicts of interest between the duties of any of the members of the Board of Directors with regard to the company and their private interests and/or other duties.

The Auditors’ special report on regulated agreements and commitments (see chapter 8, section 8.3 of this Registration Document) details the agreements and commitments submitted to the Board of Directors for authorisation and on which directors abstained from voting because of ongoing or potential conflicts of interest.

Regulated agreements

The Bouygues group Internal Charter on Regulated Agreements, approved by the Board of Directors, is available on www.bouygues.com. The aim of this Charter is to make it easier for Bouygues group companies to identify agreements, which, as they directly or indirectly concern a senior executive or a shareholder, must follow the regulated agreements procedure provided for by the Commercial Code (prior authorisation from the Board of Directors, auditors’ special report, approval by the Annual General Meeting).

This Charter uses the definition of a “person who is indirectly concerned” suggested by the Paris Chamber of Commerce and Industry: “A person is considered to be indirectly concerned by an agreement to which he is not a party where, due to his connections with the parties and the powers he has to influence their behaviour, he derives benefit from the agreement.”

Regulated agreements authorised by the Bouygues Board of Directors but not yet approved by the Annual General Meeting are described in the Board of Directors’ report on the resolutions (see chapter 8, section 8.2 of this Registration Document) as well as in the Auditors’ special report on regulated agreements (see chapter 8, section 8.3.1 of this Registration Document). This report also mentions regulated agreements for which the effects continue over time, which the Board of Directors reviews each year. Only new agreements are submitted to the Annual General Meeting for approval.

Judicial convictions

As far as the company is aware, during the last five years, none of the members of the Board of Directors has been:
- found guilty of fraud, incriminated or subject to official public sanction by any statutory or regulatory body;
- associated, in the capacity of senior executive, with any insolvency, compulsory administration or liquidation proceedings;
- prevented by a court from acting as a member of an issuer’s administrative, management or supervisory body or from being involved in an issuer’s management or the conduct of its business.

Restrictions agreed to by the members of the Board of Directors in relation to the sale of their shares in the company

The articles of association stipulate that each director, with the exception of the directors representing employees, must hold at least ten shares in the company. The Rules of Procedure recommend that each director and non-voting director own 500 shares in the company.

Subject to the above, the members of the Board of Directors have not agreed to any restriction in relation to the sale of their investment in the capital of the company, with the exception of the rules relating to the prevention of insider dealing.

Prevention of insider dealing

All Bouygues directors are required to respect the rules on the prevention of insider dealing in the Code of Conduct. The Code of Conduct is set out in Annex 1 of the Rules of Procedure of the Board of Directors, accessible on the Bouygues website. The Financial Information and Securities Trading Compliance Programme adopted by the Board in January 2014 sets out and supplements these rules.

5.2.1.11 Assessment of the Board of Directors

The Board’s Rules of Procedure stipulate that the Board should periodically assess its ability to meet shareholders’ expectations by reviewing its membership, organisation and operation, and by undertaking a similar review of Board committees.

Once a year, generally during its November meeting, the Board thus devotes an item on the agenda to assessing its own operation.

This formal assessment is intended to:
- take stock of the methods of operation of the Board and its committees;
- ensure that important issues are properly prepared and debated.

Shareholders are informed each year, in the Registration Document, of the completion of assessments, together with any action to be taken as a result.
Pursuant to these provisions, on 12 November 2015, the Board of Directors devoted an item on its agenda to a discussion of its organisation and operation.

As is the case each year, this assessment was of a formal nature, with a detailed questionnaire and a memorandum on the Board’s operation having been sent in advance by the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer to the directors to enable them to prepare for this discussion. The questionnaire included both closed questions, in order to categorise responses accurately, and open questions, so as to give directors the opportunity to qualify and explain their responses.

It also included questions aimed at assessing the effective contribution of each director to the work of the Board. Supplemental questionnaires had been sent to the members of each committee. Directors were invited to hold a discussion with the Group’s Corporate Secretary with a view to optimising preparations for the meeting.

In all, 14 responses to 16 questionnaires were received, a response rate of 88%. These responses were reviewed by the Corporate Secretary and compared with those from previous years in order to measure progress.

The main conclusions of the assessment are as follows.

As is the case each year, the Board examined the desirable balance of its membership and that of the committees, in particular with regard to the representation of women and men, nationalities and skills diversity, and in terms of the specific provisions designed to guarantee to shareholders and to the market that the Board performs its duties with the necessary independence, competence and objectivity. In their responses, members expressed very satisfactory views overall on these topics.

The Board’s membership and the proportion of independent directors were considered appropriate overall. The Board observed that, consistent with the aim expressed in the previous assessment, the number of directors had been decreased and the proportion of independent directors increased. However, the number of directors is still considered as slightly too high. Increasing the number of women on the Board also needs to be pursued. The directors would also like the Board membership to become more international and diverse. However, few directors are in favour of the appointment of a senior director.

The quality of the information provided to the directors was deemed satisfactory. It has improved notably in terms of litigation, strategy and long-term development, as requested in the previous assessment. Improvements could still be made in areas such as R&D, CSR and the human resources policy.

The current assessment system is considered satisfactory. However, the exercise aimed at assessing the individual contributions of directors to the work of the Board, initially proposed in 2015 to satisfy a recommendation in the Afep-Medef Code, was not widely followed.

5.2.2 Internal control and risk management procedures

5.2.2.1 Introduction

Bouygues and its subsidiaries are acutely aware of the importance of internal control and risk management. These processes help give reasonable assurance as to the achievement of the Group’s principal objectives.

Risk management has always been an essential part of the Group’s corporate culture. It is a key concern of the Group’s managers and is based on internal control mechanisms inspired by principles that have been applied across the Group’s business segments for many years.

Internal control and risk management bodies and procedures thus play a part in identifying, preventing and managing the main risk factors that could hinder the Group in achieving its objectives.

Like any control and risk management system, however, the system set up by Bouygues cannot provide a cast-iron guarantee of the Group’s ability to achieve its objectives.

While the aim of internal control is to ensure that the instructions and guidelines set by senior management are applied, the process is also intended to ensure that the way in which the Group is managed and conducts its business, and the behaviour of employees, comply with regulations and with the rules and principles that Bouygues wishes to apply within the Group.

Internal control plays its primary role in operations, and risk management is deeply embedded in key processes of the Group’s business segments, for which internal control aims to ensure the smooth operation.

Given the potential importance of the quality and reliability of the Group’s accounting and financial information, internal control is also widely applied in accounting and financial matters.

The purpose of the risk management mechanism is to safeguard the value, assets and reputation of the company while buttressing its processes and decision-making arrangements. The system helps people act in a way that is consistent with the company’s values and rallies employees around a shared view of the main risks.

The scope of this report covers the Bouygues group (parent company, Bouygues Construction, Bouygues Immobilier, Colas, TF1 and Bouygues Telecom).
5.2.2.2 Bouygues group internal control and risk management


The Manual was first updated in 2010 after the AMF reference framework was revised to accommodate changes in laws and regulations on risk management as well as the AMF Recommendation on audit committees.

It is regularly amended in order to make improvements that take account of changes to and feedback from the business segments.

The Manual covers the general principles of internal control and risk management, on the one hand, and internal control principles relating to accounting and finance, on the other. The main objectives are to:

- formalise the Group’s key internal control principles;
- better identify common best practices across its business segments;
- develop a consistent approach to major issues affecting the entire Group.

Each business segment has further developed this Group approach by analysing the specific aspects of its own internal control mechanisms and has supplemented the Internal Control and Risk Management Reference Manual of the Bouygues group with principles specifically related to its own activities.

The Manual includes a “Risk management: principles and method” component, which describes the approach to be used in the Group to:

- identify and monitor major risks;
- pass knowledge from one generation to another (experience).

This approach encompasses the various key stages of risk management: identification, classification, assessment, prioritisation, handling, reporting and communication.

A series of key principles has been defined for each stage for which the concept has been precisely defined. Taken as a whole, these principles make up the Group procedure for managing risks.

Every year, each business segment presents its risk map based on the above principles to its Accounts Committee and its Board. A map of Group-wide risks, derived from the business segments’ maps, is then presented to the Accounts Committee and subsequently to the Bouygues Board of Directors.

The Manual also includes an “Ongoing supervision of internal control” component, which describes in particular the method for self-assessing internal control principles.

Using this methodology, the business segments continued in 2015 to assess the extent to which these internal control principles were being applied.

The method used to implement internal control assessment campaigns in each business segment is gradually being focused on the main risks and issues identified at Group and business segment level.

In 2015, all the business segments assessed themselves on the following five topics (core procedures): the fight against corruption; the respect of competition law; the promotion of ethics in the Group; information systems and purchases.

At Bouygues Telecom and its subsidiaries, the 2015 assessment campaign (general principles) also addressed four additional topics: organisation charts/delegations of power, human resources, insurance and guarantees. It was implemented across practically the entire scope.

Bouygues Construction endeavoured to carry out the self-assessment in depth, notably at a number of production departments and branches. The campaign concerned over 100 organisational structures representing around 80% of sales. In addition to the topics common to the business segments, the following topics were assessed: human resources and subcontracting.

At TF1, the 2015 assessment campaign focused on all the general principles of internal control and on all the entities generating TF1 group sales, excluding TF1 Films Production, LCI and Metronews. New activities are gradually being integrated at a pace commensurate with the introduction of processes and tools for monitoring activity within said entities.

At Colas, the self-assessment was extended to all activities. The self-assessment follows a three-year cycle (two years out of three the campaign focuses on the main risk factors and in the third year on all the principles). Each subsidiary was asked to continue implementing assessments at operating units. In 2015, the main action plans were carried out in the following areas: the implementation of the Compliance Programmes adopted at Group level, the securing of financial transactions, the roll-out of purchasing procedures and/or supply management.

In 2015, Bouygues Immobilier once again extended the assessment scope (to over 98% of sales). For the principles assessed, Bouygues Immobilier reviewed two general topics (human resources and investment in external growth) in addition to the five topics common to all Group business segments.

In each business segment, a summary of the assessments made in the 2015 campaign was presented to the Accounts Committee of the business segment lead company.

5.2.2.3 General internal control environment

The parent company and the senior executives of the Group strive to create an environment that promotes awareness among Group employees of the need for internal control. The same applies to the parent companies of the business segments.

More generally, the desire of Group senior management to promote the general internal control environment is expressed in various areas and notably employee conduct and respect for ethics. The Chairman and Chief Executive Officer regularly issues strong messages to the Group’s senior executives about the need to adopt impeccable conduct in every respect, which means both complying with prevailing laws and regulations while observing the Group’s own ethics and values.

He does so firstly at Group Management Meetings, which are attended once a quarter by the Group’s key senior executives (about 450 people), and also through the Bouygues Management Institute (IMB), which regularly organises “Development of Bouygues Values” seminars designed to raise awareness among senior executives of the need to comply in all circumstances with laws and regulations and with the ethical rules that form the basis of the Group’s mindset. The Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Bouygues and other members of the company’s senior management always speak at these seminars.
From time to time, the Group’s Corporate Secretary organises executive seminars designed more specifically to remind participants of the regulations that apply in various areas and how they tie in with legal problems encountered by the business segments.

These efforts are taken up and amplified in the business segments. For example, at Colas in 2015, a Compliance Director was appointed, reporting to the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Also at Colas, business ethics training campaigns had addressed 90% of managerial staff in France by the end of 2015.

The Bouygues Board of Directors has an Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee. Detailed information on the Committee and its work can be found in the section of the report devoted to corporate governance (see section 5.2.1.9).

The Bouygues group has a Code of Ethics that sets out the essential values with which the Group and its employees are expected to comply in the workplace. The existence of this Code contributes to achieving the objective of better conduct and is intended to help employees make decisions in real situations by reference to clear and precise principles.

Each business segment appointed an Ethics Officer and the Boards of Directors of all the business segments (Bouygues Immobilier, Bouygues Telecom, Bouygues Construction, Colas and now TF1) have now created an Ethics Committee. TF1 has also introduced its own Code of Ethics, supplementing that of the Bouygues group.

The Bouygues group has implemented a whistleblowing facility so that employees can report infringements of ethical principles.

The procedure has been brought into line with the recommendations of the French data protection authority, Cnil. In accordance with the European Commission Recommendation of 15 February 2005 on the role of directors, the procedure operates under the control of the Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee of the Board of Directors.

The Bouygues group decided to give fresh impetus to meeting its objectives in terms of ethics, by drawing up the following four Compliance Programmes:

- Anti-corruption;
- Conflicts of Interest;
- Financial Information and Securities Trading;
- Competition.

In these Compliance Programmes, the Group reiterates the main applicable regulations and rules of conduct and specifies the measures for information, prevention, control and sanctions that are to be implemented within the business segments. The Bouygues Board of Directors approved these Compliance Programmes on 21 January 2014.

The Compliance Programmes were disseminated in 2014. Specific training for employees at the business segments was provided in 2015. For example, at Bouygues Construction the Compliance Programmes were disseminated widely and an “Ethics and Compliance” commitment was addressed to more than 1,500 employees with a return rate of over 99%.

Maintaining a high level of competence among Bouygues group employees is also one of the parent company’s aims, since it helps to create an environment favourable to internal control. Bouygues therefore takes a proactive approach to staff training while seeking to secure the loyalty of its key employees, with a view to preserving a level of experience and knowledge in the company conducive to the transmission of the Group’s culture and values.

More generally, the philosophy that the parent company wishes its business segments to share is that of a Group whose senior executives are close to their key employees and whose management practices are transparent, prudent and rigorous.

These principles are formulated at Group Management Committee level and passed on to business segments at all levels (Board of Directors, senior management and management committee). Strategic decisions taken by the Group at the highest level are consistently inspired by this philosophy and serve as a benchmark for each business segment.

The parent company also plays a leading role in human resources management policy at Group level.

The Senior Vice-President, Human Resources and Administration chairs and coordinates the Group Human Resources Committee, an essential link in passing on the Group’s values.

The Human Resources Charter helps to spread the Group’s culture by reminding everyone that the company’s development is primarily dependent on employees.

5.2.2.4 Objectives/management cycle

The purpose of introducing internal control procedures is to help the Group achieve its objectives by taking into consideration the risks to which it is exposed.

The Group’s general objectives are defined through the management cycle, a process which enables the Group’s senior management to participate early on in determining the strategies of each business segment, to approve their business plans prepared in the context of that strategic framework, and then to monitor the gradual achievement of objectives in the course of the year.

The principles of the management cycle are directly applicable in all Group entities, thus ensuring that the Group as a whole has a solid and coherent structure.

This iterative process enables the Group’s senior management to ensure at all times that objectives are consistent with strategies, monitor any discrepancies between results and objectives, and anticipate remedial action to be taken at Group or business segment level (financing requirements, redefinition of priorities, etc.).

Another aim is to provide the Group’s senior management and the Bouygues Board of Directors with all the information necessary for them to make decisions.

The key members of the parent company’s senior management team sit on the Boards of the lead companies of the Group’s business segments, and it is those Boards that decide on strategic priorities and business plans.

Strategic plan and business plans

Each business segment defines its own strategic plan for the medium term (three years) taking into account the Group’s general strategy and its own particular characteristics. The strategic plan is presented to the
Group’s senior management by the senior management of each business segment in May.

The resulting action plans form the basis of the three-year business plans, which are presented to the Bouygues Board of Directors in the following January.

**Annual plan**

In the January business plan, the first year is described in the greatest detail and represents a commitment by each business segment to the Group’s senior management. This is known as the annual plan.

An initial review of progress (or update) of the annual plan for the current year takes place in May, when the strategic plan is presented to the Group’s senior management.

A second update takes place in November, and is incorporated into the new business plan.

5.2.2.5 **Organisation – Key players and functions**

**Senior management**

Senior management teams are responsible for managing the internal control mechanism as a whole, defining strategic priorities and ensuring that internal control and risk management procedures are designed and implemented in a manner appropriate to each company’s development.

**Accounts Committees**

The characteristics and the responsibilities of the Bouygues Accounts Committee are set out in the Corporate Governance section of this report (see section 5.2.1.9). Each business segment’s Board of Directors has formed an Accounts Committee with similar responsibilities to those of the Bouygues Accounts Committee. In addition to responsibilities concerning elective accounting treatment and the review of the financial statements of Bouygues, these include monitoring the effectiveness of internal control and risk management systems. The business segment Accounts Committees review the programmes and findings of internal audits as well as the risk mapping exercises. Consequently, the Accounts Committee is a key component in the internal control and risk management mechanism.

**Internal Control departments**

The parent company has created a Group Internal Control and Audit department, which plays a major role in the development of the Group’s internal control policy. The Group Internal Control and Audit department is charged in particular with:

- overseeing the Group’s internal control and audit functions;
- coordinating the business segments’ internal control, risk management and audit activities.

The business segments also have an organisational structure dedicated to internal control. Generally, the bodies that are set up are mainly in charge of assessment campaigns and risk mapping. They sometimes take on more overarching responsibilities in relation to internal control procedures.

Bouygues Construction’s Legal Affairs, Audit and Internal Control department directs internal control and receives support in rolling out the approach mainly from support functions. Each entity has nominated an internal control correspondent, who serves as the operational contact point. This role is generally performed by the subsidiary’s Corporate Secretary.

Bouygues Telecom has put in place a business-wide risk management process that is embedded in the company’s normal business cycle. A risk manager is responsible for the process, assisted by risk correspondents who represent the organisation’s main departments and whose main task is to collate and assess risk. Risk correspondents make sure that the overall system and its development are coherent. A report is submitted on a regular basis to senior management. A quarterly internal control committee meeting brings together the 13 internal control correspondents and the risk manager.

At TF1, the internal control approach is directed by the Audit and Internal Control department. Committees have been set up within operating entities, and each entity has a risk correspondent. There is also a Support Committee, which deals with issues falling within the scope of support divisions.

At Bouygues Immobilier, the Internal Control department is in charge of risk mapping with assistance from the relevant bodies and managers, as well as organising, directing and summarising the self-assessment procedure, including the monitoring of action plans. It works in conjunction with the Internal Audit department and the Insurance department. There is also a Processes and Procedures department, which is tasked with maintaining, developing and updating all the processes and procedures. These four departments have been grouped within the Risk Management department to optimise overall effectiveness.

At Colas, the Internal Control and Audit department organises and directs internal control in conjunction with correspondents at the subsidiaries, as part of a highly decentralised organisation.

**Corporate Secretary – Legal Affairs departments**

The Group Corporate Secretary monitors matters with significant legal implications for the Group.

In this context, the Group Corporate Secretary may occasionally become involved alongside the business segments in handling major disputes or matters having an impact at Group level.

Bouygues’ Corporate Secretary chairs the Group Legal Committee, which is made up of the legal affairs directors of the business segments. He thus coordinates and supervises all the Group’s legal affairs.

The Corporate Secretary is also the Group Ethics Officer.

Within the business segments, the legal affairs departments, and more generally the support departments, play a major role in preventing and dealing with risks.

**Risk and Insurance departments**

The Group Risk and Insurance department provides assistance, advice and support to the Group’s subsidiaries. It also has a role in risk management.

Based on its comprehensive overview of the business segments’ guidelines on insurance, the Group Risk and Insurance department takes out Group-wide insurance policies to supplement the insurance taken out at business segment level.
It ensures that subsidiaries are insured with top-ranking companies and that the terms of their policies (coverage, deductibles and premiums) are consistent with their risk exposure.

The Risk and Insurance departments of the business segments make a vital contribution to risk management.

Management control

The management control system is organised such that no Group company escapes the management control process. Any company not controlled by a business segment is controlled by the parent company.

The principles governing operational relations between the parent company and the business segments have been summarised in a document drawn up by the Group Strategy and Development department and regularly updated. This document serves as a guideline for all the business segments.

GROUP REPORTING

The parent company systematically controls subsidiaries’ financial management through an annual plan, including updates, and sets of monthly indicators. The indicators are sent directly to the Group’s senior management and centralised by the Group Strategy and Development department.

Every quarter, interim financial statements are produced and consolidated at Group level.

The management cycle and control and reporting procedures thus provide a regular flow of information and ensure ongoing dialogue with the business segments. Plans can be adjusted and the parent company is always in a position to control the management of its subsidiaries and intervene in advance of strategic decisions.

BUSINESS SEGMENT REPORTING

In the business segments, management control is also carried out according to the same principles through the specifically assigned departments and dedicated information systems that have been put in place.

Cash management and finance

The Group Cash Management and Finance department at the parent company defines and monitors the application of financial management principles at Group level. Its role is both to direct and to coordinate.

The operating principles mainly concern the “Bouygues Relais” and “Uniservice” cash management centres, managed by the parent company, and the businesses’ own cash management centres. They also apply to the financing of subsidiaries.

The fundamental rules of management relate in particular to internal security (two signatures for payments, etc.), external security (secure cheques, payment by promissory note, etc.), liquidity (confirmed credit facilities, investment of cash surpluses, etc.), counterparty quality, legal documentation for loan agreements and the assessment and hedging, where necessary, of exchange rate risk.

Internal audit

Internal audit is an analytical and monitoring tool that plays a key role in risk management.

At parent company level, the Group Internal Control and Audit department combines both functions, coordinating the internal audit function at Group level and performing internal audit assignments at the request of Bouygues’ senior management, as well as for Bouygues Telecom since September 2014. The Group has around 50 auditors.

Each business segment (with the exception of Bouygues Telecom) has an Audit department.

The Group Internal Audit Charter stresses that the main tasks of internal audit are to provide senior management with reasonable assurance that the organisational principles and the internal control and risk management mechanisms are both reliable and effective. Internal audit assesses:

- the identification and control of risks based on an analysis of key issues;
- the effectiveness of risk management mechanisms and implementation of action plans;
- the control and efficiency of operational and support processes;
- the integrity, reliability, completeness, traceability and protection of information produced for accounting, financial and management purposes.

5.2.2.6 Internal control and risk management procedures

Specific risks may differ considerably depending on the business segment concerned. For example, they may relate to regulation, technology, competition, the environment or risks involved in major projects. The business segments have thus set up formalised and appropriate procedures in order to ensure better control (see chapter 4 “Risk factors” of this Registration Document).

Bouygues Construction

At Bouygues Construction, risk management is fully integrated into the company’s processes: strict procedures apply to the selection and submission of tenders, which are considered by formal Commitment Committees in light of the risks arising on each contract. Depending on the level of financial commitments, the cost of work or the technical challenges involved, Bouygues Construction’s entities are required to make an application to request the agreement of Bouygues Construction’s senior management. Financial and legal affairs teams are involved before projects are launched. From a technical standpoint, each entity has major resources for studies and, in certain areas, can call on experts who are organised into centres of excellence at group level. For major projects, specific attention is paid to studies that are cross-validated (internally and by external consulting firms). The financial risk curve is monitored on an ongoing basis for major contracts. The management control function has the resources and authority to track the results of each construction project each month and to flag any discrepancies with budgeted figures.
Bouygues Immobilier

Bouygues Immobilier has an internal procedures manual that is updated on a regular basis.

Particular attention is paid to the land acquisition commitment process (promises to sell and land acquisition) and the start of works.

A meeting of the Commitments Committee must be held before any deed is signed with a view to acquiring land (or buildings). All decisions to acquire land are strictly controlled.

Furthermore, the company has strengthened its environmental risk prevention policy in connection with land purchases.

The company could also have its liability triggered by its customers if the properties it sells were found to be poorly constructed. Under the terms of its performance guarantee, Bouygues Immobilier calls on companies to address any snags as quickly as possible. It is also careful to ensure that all involved parties (contractors, engineering consultants, technical design firms, etc.) scrupulously comply with ten-year insurance requirements.

Colas

Financial and accounting risks have always been managed by reference to clearly defined principles and procedures within the Colas group. Risk management is mainly based on preventive measures and insurance cover.

Despite a very strong culture of decentralisation, arrangements exist for the control of commitments both in terms of commercial commitments (projects are submitted to Contract Committees) and in terms of external growth or property acquisitions, or bids for long-term projects (public-private partnerships and private finance initiatives and concession contracts), which must be presented, depending on their importance, for prior agreement to the senior management of Colas and the Board of Directors of Colas or of the subsidiary.

TF1

TF1 launched a procedure for identifying major risks, with a view to establishing a decision-making system in crisis situations. This resulted in the ‘Réagir’ Committee, whose objective, linked to business continuity, is to build and update a model of mission-critical processes in the event of incidents. The Réagir Committee monitors and forestalls the major risks associated with the TF1 group’s mission-critical processes. It also updates and adds to the various procedures.

In this respect, the importance of the role played by the Technical and Information Systems department, which is responsible for making some of the channel’s shows, programme broadcasting, broadcasting networks and IT systems, should be emphasised. The Technical and Information Systems department asks the Group Information Systems department to identify which information systems are critical for the production of information and the secure and effective management of operations. The department also guarantees the channel’s security and works to formally document an information security policy and establish security standards across the TF1 group. The TF1 Broadcasting department also ensures that programmes are compliant and that the channel’s operating terms of reference are observed.

Particular attention is given to the purchasing process, which can result in substantial commitments (for example, in the case of contracts for the purchase of rights). These contracts are subject to a specific approval procedure involving various departments and sometimes senior management, depending on the amount of the commitment and the nature of the contract concerned.

Bouygues Telecom

Product/service offerings are vitally important and are therefore examined by a special committee in which Bouygues Telecom’s senior management is involved. For the same reasons, a review committee was set up to follow up product/service offerings and monitor results in light of initial forecasts.

Purchasing is particularly tightly controlled at Bouygues Telecom given the volume of purchases made by the company. The Purchasing department applies very strict procedures, and is itself subject to regular checks.

5.2.2.7 Information and communication

The production and dissemination of information, both inside and outside the Group, does much to enhance internal control.

Information systems have been put in place to manage and supervise activity. Communication helps both to make staff more aware of the importance of control and to provide those outside the Group with reliable and relevant information that complies with legal requirements.

Internal communications

The Group Corporate Communications department plays an active part in disseminating information to the Group’s employees. This strengthens the Bouygues group’s identity and plays a unifying role.

Reporting directly to the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Bouygues, it is responsible for Challenger Express, a twice-monthly newsletter for managers, and Le Minorange, an internal magazine published twice yearly, which forges genuine links between all Group employees.

The department also supervises the new Group intranet portal (ByLink) with enhanced functionalities in early 2015. Bouygues and Group employees use these sites as tools for working and sharing information. ByLink was developed to bring together existing intranet and extranet resources to provide users with a single, collaborative space.

The Group Corporate Communications department also publishes At a Glance, a publication summarising financial information which is disseminated externally as well as to the Group’s managerial, supervisory, technical and clerical staff.

The Group Management Meeting is also an important channel for transmitting key information and messages to the Group’s key senior executives.

External communications

The Group Corporate Communications department works in close cooperation with the business segments for their mutual benefit.
Its main tasks are to:
- promote the Group’s image (press relations, public relations, etc.);
- pass on information from external sources to the Group’s senior management and executives;
- handle financial disclosures to the press and the public, in collaboration with the Investor Relations department.

5.2.2.8 Internal control procedures relating to accounting and financial information

One of the main aims of internal control is to ensure the reliability of accounting and financial reporting. This is done through a comprehensive mechanism and a set of stringent procedures.

Quarter-end close

Each business segment sets its own procedures for closing financial statements, which have to fit into the broader framework of the Group’s consolidation process.

Consolidation process

At the parent company, the Group Consolidation and Accounting department is chiefly responsible for determining and establishing consistent rules and methods of consolidation for the Group and assisting the business segments in their consolidated management. It also prepares the parent company financial statements.

Consolidation is carried out quarterly on a step-by-step basis. Each business segment consolidates at its own level using identical methods defined by the Group Consolidation and Accounting department, which then carries out the overall consolidation of the Group’s financial statements.

Special software, widely used by listed companies, is used to consolidate the financial statements at the various levels. Each of the business segments uses it as part of its step-by-step approach to consolidation. The software ensures rigorous control over the preparation of the financial statements, which are thus subject to standard procedures.

In addition to the computerised accounting system, the Group Consolidation and Accounting department has produced a Group consolidation handbook containing the rules and procedures applicable to consolidation throughout the Group. The handbook is an important reference tool for preparing the consolidated financial statements. It is accessible to all accounting staff Group-wide on a dedicated intranet site describing the various principles and options that apply within the Group.

As part of its task of organising and coordinating financial statement consolidation, the Group Consolidation and Accounting department also regularly provides the business segments with information about applicable rules and methods (by organising seminars, distributing circulars, etc.), and thus helps to maintain the consistency of the system used to prepare the consolidated financial statements. This was particularly the case for the introduction of IFRS, and the related interpretations and amendments.

5.2.2.9 Steering

Internal control systems must themselves be controlled by means of regular assessments, and they must be subject to continuous improvement.

The Audit departments of the parent company and the business segments have always assessed the effectiveness of internal control mechanisms in the course of their work, and are actively involved in this improvement process.

The essential concern is still to define and implement action plans with the primary objective of controlling the Group’s operations more effectively.
5.2.3 Other information

5.2.3.1 Specific formalities for shareholder participation in Annual General Meetings

Specific formalities for shareholder participation in Annual General Meetings and, in particular, the conditions under which double voting rights are granted to shareholders who have held shares in registered form for over two years, are set out in chapter 6, section 6.1.2 of this Registration Document.

5.2.3.2 Information covered by Article L. 225-100-3 of the Commercial Code

The information covered by Article L. 225-100-3 of the Commercial Code (factors likely to have an impact on any public tender offer price) is set out in chapter 6, section 6.1.4 of this Registration Document.

5.2.3.3 The principles and rules for determining the remuneration and other benefits granted to the executive directors

The corresponding information is set out in section 5.4 of this Registration Document.

This report has been drawn up by the Corporate Secretary in close cooperation with the Group’s senior management taking into consideration various internal documents (articles of association, rules of procedure and minutes of the Board of Directors and its committees, compliance programmes, internal control principles and procedures, etc.). The section on internal control and risk management procedures has been prepared with input from Bouygues’ Internal Control department, in collaboration with stakeholders in the internal control process at the business segments. A draft of this report was submitted to the Accounts Committee.

The contributors have taken into consideration the regulations in force, the recommendations issued by the AMF concerning corporate governance, internal control and the audit committee, the AMF guidelines for internal control and risk management mechanisms, the recommendations of the Afep-Medef Corporate Governance Code, the guide for applying the Afep-Medef Code, the report of the High Committee for Corporate Governance, and best practices adopted by other listed companies.

This report was discussed and approved by the Board of Directors at its meeting of 23 February 2016.
5.3 Auditors’ report, prepared in accordance with Article L. 225-235 of the Commercial Code, on the Report by the Chairman of Bouygues (for the year ended 31 December 2015)

To the shareholders,

In our capacity as auditors of Bouygues and in accordance with the requirements of Article L. 225-235 of the Commercial Code, we present below our report on the report compiled by the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Bouygues in accordance with Article L. 225-37 of the Commercial Code for the year ended 31 December 2015.

The Chairman is responsible for compiling and submitting a report to the Board of Directors for approval regarding the internal control and risk management procedures put in place within the company, and for providing the other information required by Article L. 225-37 of the Commercial Code, particularly in the area of corporate governance.

Our responsibility is to:

- report our comments on the information contained in the Report by the Chairman regarding risk management and internal control procedures relating to the preparation and treatment of accounting and financial information,

- certify that the Report by the Chairman contains the other information required by Article L. 225-37 of the Commercial Code, it being specified that we are not responsible for verifying the fairness of that information.

We conducted our work in accordance with the professional practices applicable in France.

Information regarding risk management and internal control procedures relating to the preparation and treatment of accounting and financial information

Professional practices require that we perform procedures to assess the fairness of the information provided in the Report by the Chairman on risk management and internal control procedures relating to the preparation and treatment of accounting and financial information.

These procedures included:

- obtaining an understanding of the risk management and internal control procedures relating to the preparation and treatment of accounting and financial information described in the Report by the Chairman, and of other existing documentation;

- obtaining an understanding of the work underlying the information contained in the Report by the Chairman, and of other existing documentation;

- determining whether the Report by the Chairman contains the appropriate disclosures regarding any material weaknesses we might have identified in internal control procedures relating to the preparation and treatment of accounting and financial information.

Based on our work, we have no matters to report on the information contained in the Report by the Chairman prepared in accordance with Article L. 225-37 of the Commercial Code on risk management and internal control procedures relating to the preparation and treatment of accounting and financial information.

Other information

We certify that the Report by the Chairman contains all of the other information required by Article L. 225-37 of the Commercial Code.

Paris-La Défense, 23 February 2016

The Statutory Auditors

ERNST & YOUNG Audit
Laurent Vitse

MAZARS
Guillaume Potel
5.4 Remuneration of corporate officers – Stock options granted to corporate officers and Group employees

5.4.1 Remuneration


This section contains the reports required under the Commercial Code and the tables recommended by the Afep-Medef Corporate Governance Code or by the AMF.

5.4.1.1 Principles and rules for determining the remuneration of executive directors

In 2007, the Board of Directors took into account the Afep-Medef recommendations published in January 2007 relating to the remuneration of executive directors of listed companies. Afep and Medef published a new set of recommendations on 6 October 2008. The Board of Directors noted that virtually all these recommendations had already been implemented and adopted the remaining provisions in early 2009. The provisions of the guide to applying the Afep-Medef Code, published in December 2015 by the High Committee for Corporate Governance, have been taken into account. The principles and rules that the Board of Directors has adopted to date and that were used to determine the remuneration in respect of 2015 are described below.

General introductory comment

- Neither of the two executive directors holds an employment contract.
- In the event that executive directors leave the company, the Board of Directors does not grant them severance compensation or non-competition indemnities.
- No annual deferred variable remuneration or multi-year variable remuneration is granted to them.
- The existence of a capped additional retirement provision is taken into account when setting the overall remuneration of executive directors, as is the fact that they have received no severance compensation.
- Other than directors’ fees (see Table 4 below), the executive directors do not receive any remuneration from the Group’s subsidiaries.

Fixed remuneration

The rules for determining fixed remuneration were decided in 1999 and have been applied consistently since then. Fixed remuneration takes account of the level and difficulty of the individual’s responsibilities, job experience, and length of service in the Group and also the wage policy of groups or companies in similar sectors.

Benefits in kind

Benefits in kind involve use of a company car and the part-time assignment of an assistant and a chauffeur/security guard for personal requirements.

Variable remuneration

OVERVIEW OF THE METHOD USED TO DETERMINE VARIABLE REMUNERATION

Variable remuneration is awarded on an individual basis: the Board of Directors has defined four criteria for the variable portion of each executive director’s remuneration.

An objective is defined for each criterion. When the objective is reached, a variable portion corresponding to a percentage of the fixed remuneration is awarded.

If the four objectives are reached, the total of the four variable portions is equal to the overall ceiling of 150%, which the variable remuneration of each executive director cannot exceed.

If an objective is exceeded or not reached, the variable portion is adjusted within a bracket on a linear basis: the variable portion cannot exceed a maximum threshold and is reduced to zero below a minimum threshold.
It must be reiterated that the four variable portions thus determined cannot under any circumstances exceed the overall ceiling, which is set at 150% of the fixed remuneration for each of the executive directors (see below).

**THE FOUR CRITERIA DETERMINING VARIABLE REMUNERATION**

The variable remuneration of the executive directors is based on the performance of the Group, with performance being determined by reference to four key economic criteria:

- P1 = increase in current operating profit in the financial year (P1 = 50% of fixed remuneration if the objective is reached);
- P2 = change in consolidated net profit (attributable to the Group) in the financial year versus the Plan (P2 = 25% of fixed remuneration if the objective is reached);
- P3 = change in consolidated net profit (attributable to the Group) in the financial year versus consolidated net profit (attributable to the Group) in the previous financial year (P3 = 25% of fixed remuneration if the objective is reached);
- P4 = free cash flow before changes in working capital in the financial year (P4 = 50% of fixed remuneration if the objective is reached).

These quantitative objectives are calculated precisely but are not publicly disclosed for confidentiality reasons. Three “adjustments” were made concerning P2 and P3, the third being more general.

The calculation method for variable remuneration is summarised in the table below. In the “Actual 2015” column, the calculation of variable remuneration in respect of 2015 is detailed for the two executive directors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Performance = objective (as a % of fixed remuneration)</th>
<th>Actual 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1  Operating profit in the financial year as targeted in the 2015 Plan</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2  Consolidated net profit in the financial year as targeted in the 2015 Plan</td>
<td>25% (if consolidated net profit is at least 20% lower than consolidated net profit in FY2014, P2 is capped at 25%)</td>
<td>31.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3  Consolidated net profit generated in the previous financial year (2014 CNP)</td>
<td>25% (if consolidated net profit in the financial year is more than 20% lower than that in the previous financial year, no variable remuneration is granted)</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4  Free cash flow before WCR in the 2015 Plan</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Ceiling | 150% |

Adjustment at the discretion of the Board of Directors: If an exceptional item were to affect the consolidated net profit of the financial year, the Board of Directors has the right to reduce or not to grant variable remuneration where the P1, P2, P3 and P4 bonuses would have been entirely or partially due in the absence of said exceptional item.

**OVERALL CEILING**

The overall ceiling for variable remuneration is 150% of the fixed remuneration.

**Exceptional remuneration**

In exceptional cases, on the advice of the Remuneration Committee, the Board of Directors may award special bonuses.

**Directors’ fees**

The two executive directors receive and retain the directors’ fees paid by Bouygues, as well as the directors’ fees paid by certain Group subsidiaries (see sections 5.4.1.3 and 5.4.1.4 below).

**Additional retirement provision**

The two executive directors, under certain conditions, will benefit from an additional retirement provision when they retire.

**PERFORMANCE CONDITIONS**

**Article 229 of the Law of 6 August 2015 for growth** stipulates that the acquisition of defined-benefit pension rights by executive directors of listed companies in respect of a given financial year must now be subject to performance conditions.

However, Martin Bouygues and Olivier Bouygues may no longer acquire supplementary pension rights because the rights they have already acquired exceed the ceiling set by the Board of Directors (eight times the annual social security ceiling).
INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE COMPANY ON PENSION COMMITMENTS OR OTHER LIFETIME BENEFITS IN APPLICATION OF THE THIRD SENTENCE OF THE THIRD PARAGRAPH IN ARTICLE L. 225-102-1

The characteristics of the supplementary pension scheme awarded to the Group's senior executives are as follows:

1. name of the commitment concerned: defined-benefit pension scheme;
2. reference to legal provisions identifying the corresponding scheme: Article L. 137-11 of the Social Security Code;
3. conditions for joining the scheme and other eligibility conditions; the beneficiary must:
   - be a member of the Bouygues SA Management Committee at the date of departure or retirement,
   - have at least ten years' service with the Bouygues group at the date of departure or retirement,
   - have definitively ended his or her professional career at one of the Group companies (this condition is fulfilled when the employee is part of the workforce at the date of departure or retirement),
   - be at least 65 years old at the date of departure or retirement,
   - fulfil the eligibility criteria for pension benefits under the basic social security pension scheme and the mandatory supplementary schemes (ARRCO and AGIRC).
4. terms and conditions for determining the reference salary determined by the scheme in question and used to calculate the rights of beneficiaries:
   The reference salary shall be equal to the average gross salary of the employee's three best calendar years at the Bouygues group, during his or her period on the Bouygues SA Management Committee, adjusted to reflect changes in the value of pension entitlement points under the AGIRC scheme on the date the employment contract is terminated. The reference gross salary is that used to calculate social security contributions, in accordance with the provisions of Article L. 242-1 of the Social Security Code.
5. pattern of vesting of rights; annual rate; beneficiaries of the supplementary pension scheme receive an additional retirement provision set at 0.92% of the reference salary per year in the scheme, determined as explained above;
6. existence of a ceiling and the amount and terms and conditions for determining that ceiling: rights may not exceed a ceiling set at eight times the annual social security ceiling once the contributions paid into the scheme by SCDM, calculated on a pro rata basis for the time spent on SCDM business each year by the person concerned are taken into account.
7. related tax and social security charges payable by the company: the contributions paid into the scheme by the company are not subject to employers' social security charges or to the CSG or CRDS levies. However, the company is required to pay a levy set at 24% of those contributions.
8. estimated amount of the annual annuity at the end of the reporting period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Annual annuity (£’000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Martin Bouygues</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivier Bouygues</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: the annual annuity of Martin Bouygues and Olivier Bouygues would amount to eight times the annual social security ceiling once the contributions paid into the scheme by SCDM, calculated on a pro rata basis for the time spent on SCDM business each year by the person concerned are taken into account.

Other information regarding remuneration

The existence of a capped additional retirement provision is taken into account when setting the overall remuneration of executive directors, as is the fact that no severance compensation or non-competition indemnities are granted to them.

Remuneration accruing to Martin Bouygues and Olivier Bouygues as determined by the Bouygues Board of Directors is paid by SCDM. SCDM then invoices Bouygues this remuneration and the related social security charges pursuant to the agreement governing relations between Bouygues and SCDM, approved under the regulated agreements procedure. Invoicing strictly reflects the remuneration amounts set by the Bouygues Board of Directors. The agreement between Bouygues and SCDM was approved by the Combined Annual General Meeting of 23 April 2015 (fourth resolution) as part of the regulated agreements procedure.

Olivier Bouygues devotes part of his time to the activities of SCDM. The Board of Directors has adapted his remuneration to the breakdown of his time. His operational duties at SCDM do not significantly reduce his availability and do not create a conflict of interest.

Combined Annual General Meeting of 23 April 2015 - Say on Pay

The Annual General Meeting of 23 April 2015 expressed a favourable opinion on the remuneration components awarded in respect of the 2014 financial year to Martin Bouygues (Resolution 10 adopted with 89.34% of the votes) and to Olivier Bouygues (Resolution 11 adopted with 89.33% of the votes).
## Remuneration granted to the executive directors in respect of the 2015 financial year

### Remuneration components of Martin Bouygues, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, in respect of the 2015 financial year

#### I. Remuneration components owed or awarded in respect of the 2015 financial year that are submitted to the Annual General Meeting of 21 April 2016 for approval (Resolution 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount or carrying amount</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed remuneration</td>
<td>€920,000 Martin Bouygues’ fixed remuneration remains unchanged since 2003.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change versus 2014</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual variable remuneration</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change versus 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% variable/fixed</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceiling</td>
<td>150%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred variable remuneration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-year variable remuneration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exceptional remuneration</td>
<td>No entitlement to exceptional remuneration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of stock options, performance shares or other long-term remuneration component awarded during the financial year</td>
<td>No stock options, performance shares or other long-term remuneration component were awarded during the year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directors’ fees</td>
<td>€73,900 o/w Bouygues: 50,000 o/w subsidiaries: 23,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of benefits in kind</td>
<td>€29,879 Company car. Part-time assignment of an assistant and a chauffeur/security guard for personal requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### II. Reminder: remuneration components owed or awarded in respect of the 2015 financial year that were approved by the Annual General Meeting as part of the regulated agreements procedure (Annual General Meeting of 23 April 2015, Resolution 10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount or carrying amount</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severance compensation</td>
<td>No entitlement to severance compensation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-competition indemnities</td>
<td>No entitlement to non-competition indemnities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplementary pension scheme</td>
<td>Martin Bouygues, in the same way and under the same conditions as the other members of Group Management Committee, benefits from a supplementary pension scheme whereby he receives an additional retirement provision set at 0.92% of the reference salary (average of the best three years) per year in the scheme. Benefits are capped at eight times the social security ceiling, i.e. approximately €304,320 in 2015. Having reached this ceiling, Martin Bouygues can no longer acquire supplementary pension rights. Entitlement is acquired only after ten years’ service with the Group and provided that the executive director is a member of the Group Management Committee at the date of retirement. If he had retired in 2015, taking into account his length of service, Martin Bouygues would have received an annual additional retirement provision of around €301,000. In accordance with the Afep-Medef Code, this amount does not exceed 45% of the reference income.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**  
€1,023,779

Change versus 2014 -42%

(a) Variable remuneration expressed as a percentage of fixed remuneration.  
(b) Variable remuneration ceiling, set as a percentage of fixed remuneration.  
n.a.: not applicable.
Remuneration components of Olivier Bouygues, Deputy Chief Executive Officer, in respect of the 2015 financial year

### I. Remuneration components owed or awarded in respect of the 2015 financial year that are submitted to the Annual General Meeting of 21 April 2016 for approval (Resolution 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount or carrying amount</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>€</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fixed remuneration**  
500,000  
Olivier Bouygues’ fixed remuneration remains unchanged since 2009.

**Change versus 2014**  
0%

**Annual variable remuneration**  
0  
Variable remuneration criteria (2015 financial year):
- Increase in current operating profit (50%)
- Change in consolidated net profit versus the Plan (25%)
- Change in consolidated net profit versus 2014 (25%)
- Free cash flow before changes in working capital (50%)

**% variable/fixed**  
n.a.

**Ceiling**  
150%  
No entitlement to deferred variable remuneration.

**Deferred variable remuneration**  
No entitlement to deferred variable remuneration.

**Multi-year variable remuneration**  
No entitlement to multi-year variable remuneration.

**Exceptional remuneration**  
No entitlement to exceptional remuneration.

**Value of stock options, performance shares or other long-term remuneration component awarded during the financial year**  
No stock options, performance shares or other long-term remuneration component were awarded during the year.

**Directors’ fees**  
68,914  
O/w Bouygues: 25,000  
o/w subsidiaries: 43,914

**Value of benefits in kind**  
10,756  
Company car.  
Part-time assignment of an assistant and a chauffeur/security guard for personal requirements.

### II. Reminder: remuneration components owed or awarded in respect of the 2015 financial year that were approved by the Annual General Meeting as part of the regulated agreements procedure (Annual General Meeting of 23 April 2015, Resolution 11)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount or carrying amount</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>€</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Severance compensation**  
No entitlement to severance compensation.

**Non-competition indemnities**  
No entitlement to non-competition indemnities.

**Supplementary pension scheme**  
Olivier Bouygues, in the same way and under the same conditions as the other members of Group Management Committee, benefits from a supplementary pension scheme whereby he receives an additional retirement provision set at 0.92% of the reference salary (average of the best three years) per year in the scheme. Benefits are capped at eight times the social security ceiling i.e. approximately €304,320 in 2015. Having reached this ceiling, Olivier Bouygues can no longer acquire supplementary pension rights. Entitlement is acquired only after ten years’ service with the Group and provided that the executive director is a member of the Group Management Committee at the date of retirement. If he had retired in 2015, taking into account his length of service, Olivier Bouygues would have received an annual additional retirement provision of around €247,000. In accordance with the Afep-Medef Code, this amount does not exceed 45% of the reference income.

**TOTAL**  
579,670  
Change versus 2014 -42%

(a) Variable remuneration expressed as a percentage of fixed remuneration.  
(b) Variable remuneration ceiling, set as a percentage of fixed remuneration.  
n.a.: not applicable.
Table 1 – General overview of the legal status attributed to executive directors: restrictions on combining positions as corporate officer with employment contract – Supplementary retirement benefits – Severance compensation – Non-competition indemnities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Executive directors</th>
<th>Employment contract</th>
<th>Supplementary pension scheme</th>
<th>Severance compensation or benefits due or likely to be due on termination or change of office</th>
<th>Indemnities relating to non-competition clause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Bouygues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position: Chairman and CEO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivier Bouygues</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position: Deputy CEO</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – General overview of remuneration, benefits in kind and options granted to the two executive directors in 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Martin Bouygues (Chairman and CEO)</th>
<th>Olivier Bouygues (Deputy CEO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remuneration owing in respect of the year (see breakdown in Table 3 and Table 4)</td>
<td>1,023,779</td>
<td>1,769,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of options granted in the year a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of performance shares granted in the year b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1,023,779</td>
<td>1,769,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YoY CHANGE</td>
<td>-42%</td>
<td>-42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) No options were granted to executive directors in 2014 and 2015.
(b) The company granted no performance shares.

Martin Bouygues
Chairman and CEO
Number of stock options awarded in 2015: 0

€ million

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Olivier Bouygues
Deputy CEO
Number of stock options awarded in 2015: 0

€ million

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 – Detailed overview of the remuneration of the two executive directors in respect of the 2015 financial year

The Remuneration Committee assessed the degree to which the variable remuneration criteria of the two executive directors were met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position and years of service in the Group</th>
<th>Remuneration*</th>
<th>Amounts(^a) in respect of FY2015</th>
<th>Amounts(^b) in respect of FY2014</th>
<th>Variable remuneration criteria(^f) (FY2015)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Martin Bouygues</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>920,000</td>
<td>920,000</td>
<td>920,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>920,000</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>753,204</td>
<td>753,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>-100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>81.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% variable/fixed(^d)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>150%</td>
<td>150%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ceiling(^e)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exceptional remuneration</td>
<td>Directors’ fees</td>
<td>73,900</td>
<td>73,900</td>
<td>70,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benefits in kind</td>
<td>29,879</td>
<td>29,879</td>
<td>25,670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,023,779</td>
<td>1,776,983</td>
<td>1,769,074</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Olivier Bouygues                          | Fixed         | 500,000                             | 500,000                             | 500,000                         |
|                                           | Change        | 0%                                  | 500,000                             | 0%                              |
|                                           | Variable      | 0                                   | 409,350                             | 409,350                         |
|                                           | Change        | -100%                               | 0%                                  | 81.90%                          |
|                                           | % variable/fixed\(^d\) | 0%                              | 150%                                | 150%                            |
|                                           | Ceiling\(^e\) |                                      |                                      |                                 |
| Exceptional remuneration                  | Directors’ fees | 68,914                             | 68,914                             | 71,277                          |
|                                           | Benefits in kind | 10,756                             | 10,756                             | 10,756                          |
|                                           | Total         | 579,670                             | 989,020                             | 991,383                         |

TOTAL EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS 1,603,449 2,766,003 2,760,457 1,597,903

CHANGE -42% +71%

(a) No remuneration other than that mentioned in the table was paid to the executive directors by Bouygues group companies.
(b) Amounts due = all the amounts allocated in respect of one financial year. Amounts paid = all the amounts paid in the financial year. However, the variable component allocated for a financial year is actually paid in the first quarter of the following year.
(c) Amounts due – Change: the percentages inserted under the fixed and variable remuneration show variations relative to the previous financial year.
(d) Variable remuneration expressed as a percentage of fixed remuneration.
(e) Variable remuneration ceiling, set as a percentage of fixed remuneration.
(f) Variable remuneration criteria: the portion expresses the weighting of the criterion when determining total variable remuneration.
(g) Consolidated net profit = consolidated net profit (attributable to the Group) of Bouygues.

5.4.1.3 Directors’ fees

The Annual General Meeting of 24 April 2003 set the total amount of directors’ fees to be allocated to corporate officers and directors of Bouygues at €700,000 each year, leaving it to the Board of Directors’ discretion as to how this amount should be split.

Directors’ fees consist of a fixed portion of 30% and a variable portion of 70% calculated on the ratio of the director’s effective presence at the five annual meetings of the Board of Directors and, for committee members, at the meetings of the committee or committees concerned.
### Table 4 - Directors' fees paid in respect of the 2015 financial year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>€</th>
<th>Origin (Notes 1 and 2)</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M. Bouygues Chairman and CEO</td>
<td>Bouygues Subsidiaries</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O. Bouygues Deputy CEO</td>
<td>Bouygues Subsidiaries</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>43,914</td>
<td>46,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bouygues Subsidiaries</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>142,814</td>
<td>141,477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total for executive directors</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>12,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,550 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Bardou Director</td>
<td>Bouygues Subsidiaries</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,040 b</td>
<td>12,625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Bertière Director</td>
<td>Bouygues Subsidiaries</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.-P. Chifflet Director</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>21,500</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Deflesselle Director</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>12,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,040 b</td>
<td>12,625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.-M. Idrac Director</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>21,500</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19,040</td>
<td>17,733</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Kron Director</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12,625</td>
<td>17,733</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Le Bouc Director</td>
<td>Bouygues Subsidiaries</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Lewiner Director</td>
<td>Bouygues Subsidiaries</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>21,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. le Pas de Sécheval Director</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>21,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Nombret Director</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>21,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Paolini Director</td>
<td>Bouygues Subsidiaries</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30,107</td>
<td>31,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Peyrelevade Director</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.-H. Pinault Director</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>21,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6,650</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.-M. Van Lerberghe Director</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>5,775</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Vilain Director</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total for directors</td>
<td>Bouygues Subsidiaries</td>
<td>473,280</td>
<td>560,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>94,107</td>
<td>88,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>567,387</td>
<td>648,725</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL DIRECTORS’ FEES EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS AND DIRECTORS (NOTE 3)</td>
<td>BOUYGUES SUBSIDIARIES</td>
<td>581,805</td>
<td>635,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>743,726</td>
<td>790,202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Director representing employees. Appointed as member of the Remuneration Committee on 24 February 2015.
(b) Director representing employees. Appointed as a member of the Ethics, CSR and Patronage Committee on 24 February 2015.

**Note 1:** Bouygues = directors’ fees paid in respect of participation on the Bouygues Board of Directors. The first line shows directors’ fees paid for attending Board meetings. The second line shows directors’ fees paid for participation in one or more committees.

**Note 2:** Subsidiaries = directors’ fees paid by Group companies, within the meaning of Article L. 233-16 of the Commercial Code, i.e. mainly Colas, Bouygues Telecom and TF1.

**Note 3:** The total amount includes directors’ fees paid to Mrs F. Bouygues, Georges Chodron de Courcel and Yves Gabriel, directors.

Mrs F. Bouygues received €10,750 and €25,000 in directors’ fees from Bouygues in 2015 and 2014 respectively. She left the Board of Directors in April 2015.

Georges Chodron de Courcel received €10,750 in directors’ fees from Bouygues and €3,150 for his participation in the Accounts Committee and the Selection Committee in 2015. He received €25,000 in directors’ fees from Bouygues and €19,367 for his participation in the Accounts Committee and Selection Committee in 2014. He left the Board of Directors in April 2015.

Yves Gabriel received €8,875 and €25,000 in directors’ fees from Bouygues in 2015 and 2014 respectively. Leaving his functions in the Group in order to take retirement, Yves Gabriel resigned from the Board of Directors on 15 March 2015.
5.4.1.4 Principles and rules for determining the remuneration of salaried directors

**Remuneration of salaried directors**

Three of the directors are employees of Bouygues (François Bertière, Hervé Le Bouc and Nonce Paolini), each of whom is in charge of one of the Group’s business segments (subsidiaries).

The principles and methods for determining the remuneration of salaried directors are similar to those used to calculate the remuneration of the two executive directors (see section 5.4.1.1, “Overview of the method used to determine variable remuneration” above).

However, three of the criteria used to determine variable remuneration are performance criteria for the subsidiary managed. For the 2015 financial year, the Board of Directors has decided to make changes to the rules for determining the variable remuneration of the salaried directors as described above.

- Five criteria (P1, P2, P3, P4 and P5) will now be used for determining variable remuneration. A new criterion, P2, was added on a recommendation from the Remuneration Committee. This criterion concerns the current operating margin of the subsidiary managed by the salaried director. The method used to determine this portion of variable remuneration is identical to that used for criteria P1, P3 and P4, which remain unchanged (see section 5.4.1.4). The new P2 criterion represents 10% of fixed remuneration if the objective is reached. Criterion P3 (which was criterion P2 before a fifth criterion was added) represents 25% of fixed remuneration if the objective is reached.

- A “CSR Development” criterion has been added to the P5 qualitative criteria.

- An “adjustment” can be applied to P3.

The criteria for determining variable remuneration are therefore as follows:

- P1 = change in consolidated net profit (attributable to the Group) of Bouygues (30% if the objective is reached);
- P2 = change in the current operating margin of the subsidiary managed versus the Plan (10% if the objective is reached);
- P3 = change in consolidated net profit (attributable to the Group) of the subsidiary managed (Bouygues Construction, Bouygues Immobilier, Colas or TF1) versus the Plan (25% if the objective is reached);
- P4 = change in consolidated net profit (attributable to the Group) of the subsidiary managed versus the previous financial year (35% if the objective is reached);
- P5 = qualitative criteria: four qualitative criteria (50% if the objective is reached).

These quantitative and qualitative objectives, and in particular the degree to which they are met, have been calculated precisely but are not publicly disclosed for confidentiality reasons.

### Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method for calculating variable remuneration</th>
<th>Performance = objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1 Operating profit in the financial year as targeted in the 2015 Plan</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2 Percentage of current operating margin in the 2015 Plan of the subsidiary</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3 Consolidated net profit in the financial year as targeted in the 2015 Plan of the subsidiary</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4 Consolidated net profit generated by the subsidiary in the previous financial year (2014 CNP)</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5 Qualitative objectives including Ethics and CSR for 20%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ceiling 150%

### Calculating variable remuneration

**Actual 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F. Bertière</th>
<th>H. Le Bouc</th>
<th>N. Paolini</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1 60%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2 10%</td>
<td>15.77%</td>
<td>8.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3 25%</td>
<td>20.80%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4 0%</td>
<td>46.99%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5 50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145%</td>
<td>193.56%</td>
<td>118.75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remuneration paid by Bouygues and corresponding social charges are invoiced to the subsidiary managed by the senior executive (F. Bertière: Bouygues Immobilier; H. Le Bouc: Colas; N. Paolini: TF1).
Remuneration of the three salaried directors in respect of the 2015 financial year

In respect of the 2015 financial year, the Remuneration Committee has assessed the degree to which the variable remuneration criteria were met by each salaried director.

The salaried directors obtained the variable remuneration described below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position and years of service in the Group</th>
<th>Remuneration</th>
<th>Amounts in respect of FY2015</th>
<th>Amounts in respect of FY2014</th>
<th>Variable remuneration criteria (FY2015)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F. Bertière (31 years)</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>920,000 0%</td>
<td>920,000 0%</td>
<td>P1 = Change in consolidated net profit of Bouygues (30%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P2 = Change in current operating margin of Bouygues Immobilier versus the Plan (10%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>1,334,000 -3%</td>
<td>1,380,000 +54.78%</td>
<td>P3 = Change in consolidated net profit of Bouygues Immobilier versus the Plan (25%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% variable/fixed</td>
<td>145%</td>
<td>150%</td>
<td>P4 = Change in consolidated net profit of Bouygues Immobilier versus 2014 (35%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ceiling</td>
<td>150%</td>
<td>150%</td>
<td>P5 = Qualitative criteria (50%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exceptional remuneration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Directors’ fees</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benefits in kind</td>
<td>4,944</td>
<td>4,944</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,303,944</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,303,944</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| H. Le Bouc (38 years)                   | Fixed        | 920,000 0%                  | 920,000 0%                  | P1 = Change in consolidated net profit of Bouygues (30%). |
|                                          | Change       |                             |                             | P2 = Change in current operating margin of Colas versus the Plan (10%). |
|                                          | Variable     | 1,380,000 0%               | 1,380,000 +34.70%           | P3 = Change in consolidated net profit of Colas versus the Plan (25%). |
|                                          | % variable/fixed | 150%                        | 150%                        | P4 = Change in consolidated net profit of Colas versus 2014 (35%). |
|                                          | Ceiling      | 150%                        | 150%                        | P5 = Qualitative criteria (50%). |
|                                          | Exceptional remuneration |     |                             |                                        |
|                                          | Directors’ fees | 45,000                    | 45,000                      |                                        |
|                                          | Benefits in kind | 4,100                      | 4,100                      |                                        |
|                                          | **TOTAL**     | **2,349,100**               | **2,349,100**               |                                        |

| N. Paolini (27 years)                   | Fixed        | 920,000 0%                  | 920,000 0%                  | P1 = Change in consolidated net profit of Bouygues (30%). |
|                                          | Change       |                             |                             | P2 = Change in current operating margin of TF1 versus the Plan (10%). |
|                                          | Variable     | 1,092,500 -21%             | 1,380,000 +34.70%           | P3 = Change in consolidated net profit of TF1 versus the Plan (25%). |
|                                          | % variable/fixed | 19%                         | 150%                        | P4 = Change in consolidated net profit of TF1 versus 2014 (35%). |
|                                          | Ceiling      | 150%                        | 150%                        | P5 = Qualitative criteria (50%). |
|                                          | Exceptional remuneration |     |                             |                                        |
|                                          | Directors’ fees | 55,107                    | 55,107                      |                                        |
|                                          | Benefits in kind | 4,100                      | 4,100                      |                                        |
|                                          | **TOTAL**     | **2,072,644**               | **2,072,644**               |                                        |

(a) No remuneration other than that mentioned in the table was paid to corporate officers by companies in the Group.
(b) Amounts due = all the amounts allocated in respect of one financial year. Amounts paid = all the amounts paid in the financial year. However, the variable component allocated for a financial year is actually paid in the first quarter of the following year.
(c) Amounts due – Change: the percentages inserted under the fixed and variable remuneration show variations relative to the previous financial year.
(d) Variable remuneration expressed as a percentage of fixed remuneration.
(e) Variable remuneration ceiling, set as a percentage of fixed remuneration.
Employment contracts of the three salaried directors

The employment contracts of François Bertière, Hervé Le Bouc and Nonce Paolini were maintained as the three directors have spent almost all of their careers at the Group. They all had considerable lengths of service when they were entrusted with the responsibility for one of the Group’s five business segments and appointed directors.

Additional retirement provision

François Bertière, Hervé Le Bouc and Nonce Paolini, under certain conditions, will benefit from an additional retirement provision when they retire. This scheme is identical to that reserved for the two executive directors (see section 5.4.1.1 above).

The estimated amounts of their annual annuities at the closing date are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salaried director</th>
<th>Annual annuity € ’000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>François Bertière</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hervé Le Bouc</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonce Paolini</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Severance compensation – Non-competition indemnities

As is the case for the executive directors, the salaried directors do not benefit from severance compensation or non-competition indemnities.

However, salaried directors are covered by the collective agreement applicable to the company (Paris region construction company executives’ collective agreement for Bouygues SA), which provides for certain compensation if a director’s employment contract is terminated, even though such compensation is not strictly classified as severance compensation as such. This ensures that they receive compensation equal to approximately one year’s salary.

Salaried directors representing employee shareholders – Directors representing employees

The salaries paid to the two directors representing employee shareholders, who have an employment contract with Bouygues or one of its subsidiaries, together with the salaries paid to the two directors representing employees, are not disclosed.

2016 financial year

For the 2016 financial year, the Board of Directors reserves the right to make changes to the rules for determining variable remuneration, notably to include a CSR criterion when assessing the variable remuneration of the two executive directors.

Furthermore, while performance conditions do not need to be defined to determine entitlement to supplementary pension rights for the two executive directors (since the latter can no longer acquire such rights), the Board of Directors will define the performance conditions applicable to the other beneficiaries of the defined-benefit pension scheme.


This section contains the reports required under the Commercial Code and the tables recommended by the Afep-Medef Corporate Governance Code or by the AMF in its publications concerning the information to be provided in registration documents on the remuneration of corporate officers.

5.4.2.1 Principles and rules for granting stock options and bonus shares

The twenty-eighth resolution of the Combined Annual General Meeting on 25 April 2013 authorised the Board of Directors to grant on one or more occasions existing or new shares for free. This authorisation, granted for thirty-eight months, requires the beneficiaries of these bonus shares to be employees and/or corporate officers of Bouygues or of companies or economic interest groupings directly or indirectly associated with Bouygues within the meaning of Article L. 225-197-2 of the Commercial Code.

The twenty-fourth resolution of the Combined Annual General Meeting of 23 April 2015 authorised the Board of Directors on one or more occasions to grant options conferring the right to subscribe new shares or to purchase existing shares. This authorisation, granted for thirty-eight months, requires the beneficiaries of these options to be employees and/or corporate officers of Bouygues or of companies or economic interest groupings directly or indirectly associated with Bouygues under the terms of Article L. 225-180 of the Commercial Code.

To date, the Board of Directors has not made use of the authorisations granted by the Annual General Meeting to allot bonus shares or grant options to purchase shares. All of the options granted have been to subscribe for shares.

General rules applicable to grants of stock options and bonus shares

The Board of Directors has taken into account the recommendations of the Afep-Medef Code and those of the AMF.

It should be noted that:

- stock options or bonus shares are granted to help attract senior executives and employees, secure their loyalty, reward them and give them a medium- and long-term interest in the company’s development, in light of their contribution to value creation;
- more than 1,000 senior executives and employees are beneficiaries under each plan. The beneficiaries are selected and individual
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Allotments are decided by reference to each beneficiary’s responsibility and performance, with particular attention being paid to executives with potential;

- no discount is applied when options are granted;
- a ceiling has been set to prevent a significant increase in the size of stock option plans when the market is falling. This ceiling has been set at 15% of the volume of the previous plan;
- at its 2 December 2010 meeting, the Board of Directors changed the periods during which senior executives and employees concerned are prohibited from exercising stock options or selling shares arising from the exercise of stock options:
  - for the 30 calendar days immediately preceding the publication of the first-quarter and third-quarter financial statements and those for the first half and full year as well as on the day these statements are publicly disclosed,
  - for the 15 calendar days immediately preceding the publication of Bouygues’ quarterly sales release and on the day this information is publicly disclosed.

The Board of Directors reiterated that this obligation to refrain from selling shares arising from the exercise of stock options was also to be observed during the period in which a senior executive or employee is privy to inside information and on the day this information is publicly disclosed.

- barring an exceptional decision to the contrary, stock options are to be granted each year after the publication of the full-year financial statements for the previous financial year;
- in addition to these measures, several internal rules were laid down and disseminated to prevent breaches of insider dealing policy or insider dealing offences: the drawing up of a list of people having access to inside information; a reminder of the abstention obligations; information concerning stock market laws; and a recommendation concerning the setting-up of a share trading plan. A specific Compliance Programme was approved and disseminated Group-wide in 2014.

Specific rules applicable to the two executive directors

The rules set by the Board of Directors are as follows:

- stock options or bonus shares shall not be granted to senior executives leaving the company;
- speculative trades and risk-hedging transactions relating to the exercise of stock options or the sale of bonus shares are forbidden; to the company’s knowledge, no hedges have been put in place by corporate officers;
- executive directors and salaried directors who wish to sell shares acquired through the exercise of stock options or sell bonus shares shall obtain confirmation from the Group Ethics Officer that they do not hold inside information;
- the value must not exceed the value of the stock options allocated to a corporate officer, which is capped at 100% of his remuneration;
- a ceiling is set on allotments to the Chairman and CEO (a maximum of 5% of an allotment plan) and to the Deputy CEO (a maximum of 2.5% of an allotment plan);
- performance criteria are set for the executive directors at the time of the allotment (consolidated net profit attributable to the Group in the year preceding the allotment) and the exercise of options (consolidated net profit attributable to the Group in each of the four financial years preceding the exercise of the options);
- when stock options or bonus shares are granted, the Board of Directors shall set the number of bonus shares or exercise option shares that executive directors are required to retain until the expiry of their term of office. This provision was implemented for stock options granted in 2008, 2009 and 2010 (in 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015, at their request, the executive directors were not granted stock options or bonus shares). The Board of Directors set the number of shares obtained from the exercise of stock options that executive directors are required to hold in registered form either directly or through a company. The percentage of shares they must keep from the 2008, 2009 and 2010 plans is 25% of the shares that remain after selling the number of shares required to cover the costs of exercising the options and paying any related taxes or social charges;
- declaration to the Board of Directors of transactions performed;
- the Rules of Procedure stipulate that the AMF recommends senior executives to set up share trading plans.

Choice of stock options

Since 1988, the year the first stock option plan was granted at the Group, the Board of Directors has always chosen the incentive mechanism of stock options to secure the loyalty of senior executives and employees and to give them an interest in the Group’s development.

The objective is and has always been not to grant additional remuneration but to involve these individuals in the trend of the Bouygues share price. The well-foundedness of the decision to grant stock options has been borne out by the positive correlation observed between the trend in the Bouygues share price and that in the net profit attributable to the Group.

To date, granting shares for free has not been retained. The Board of Directors has asked the Remuneration Committee to regularly review the question of the most appropriate system to secure loyalty and associate individuals with the value of the share.

General information: stock option characteristics

All the stock options granted by the Board of Directors in 2015 have the following characteristics:

- exercise price: the average of the opening prices quoted on the 20 trading days prior to the option grant, with no discount;
- validity period: ten years from the date the stock options are granted;
- lock-up period: two years from the date the stock options are granted;
- exercise period: eight years after expiry of the lock-up period (with three exceptions where stock options may be exercised at any time during the ten years: stock options exercised by heirs within six months of the death of a beneficiary; change of control of Bouygues or cash tender or exchange offer relating to Bouygues; exercise of stock options in accordance with Article L. 3332-25 of the Labour Code, using assets acquired under the Group savings scheme);
automatic cancellation if the employment contract or appointment as corporate officer is terminated, unless given special authorisation, or in the case of invalidity, departure or retirement.

5.4.2.2 Stock options granted to or exercised by executive directors and salaried directors in 2015

Options for new Bouygues shares were granted in 2015. On 23 April 2015, the Board of Directors decided to make a grant on 28 May 2015 of 2,739,600 options to 904 beneficiaries who are corporate officers or employees of the company or companies in the Bouygues group.

Table 5 – Options granted to executive directors and salaried directors of Bouygues

Martin Bouygues and Olivier Bouygues asked the Board of Directors not to grant options to the two executive directors in 2015.

Options were granted to salaried directors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salaried director</th>
<th>Company granting the options</th>
<th>Grant date</th>
<th>Number of options</th>
<th>Exercise price €</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>François Bertière</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>28 May 2015</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>37.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hervé Le Bouc</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>28 May 2015</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>37.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonce Paolini</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>28 May 2015</td>
<td>135,000</td>
<td>37.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>295,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Salaried directors were not granted any other options.

Table 6 – Stock options exercised by executive directors and salaried directors of Bouygues in 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>Number of options exercised</th>
<th>Exercise price €</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Martin Bouygues</td>
<td>1 April 2009</td>
<td>202,591</td>
<td>25.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4.2.3 Performance (bonus) shares

Table 7 – Performance shares granted to each executive director

No performance shares were granted by the company in 2015.

Table 8 – Performance shares that became available during the year for each executive director

No performance shares became available during the year as no such shares were granted by the company.

(a) Share capital of Bouygues at 31 March 2015: 336,511,505 shares.
5.4.2.4  **Summary of outstanding stock option plans**

Table 9 – Breakdown of stock options for each plan and category of beneficiary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grant date</td>
<td>28/05/2015</td>
<td>27/03/2014</td>
<td>28/03/2013</td>
<td>13/06/2012</td>
<td>14/06/2011</td>
<td>30/06/2010</td>
<td>01/04/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of stock options granted by the Board</td>
<td>2,739,600</td>
<td>2,790,000</td>
<td>2,790,000</td>
<td>2,956,025</td>
<td>2,936,125</td>
<td>4,145,650</td>
<td>4,672,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o/w to executive directors and salaried directors</td>
<td>295,000</td>
<td>375,000</td>
<td>320,000</td>
<td>388,000</td>
<td>388,000</td>
<td>770,000</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o/w to ten employees of the company</td>
<td>360,800</td>
<td>289,100</td>
<td>335,800</td>
<td>402,800</td>
<td>402,800</td>
<td>534,000</td>
<td>520,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Pre-adjustment exercise price | €37.106 | €30.32 | €22.28 | €20.11 | €31.84 | €34.52 | €25.95 |
| Post-adjustment exercise price | €37.106 | €30.32 | €22.28 | €20.11 | €31.43 | €34.08 | €25.62 |

| Start of exercise period | 28/05/2017 | 28/03/2018 | 29/03/2017 | 14/06/2016 | 14/06/2015 | 30/06/2014 | 01/04/2013 |
| Number of options cancelled or lapsed | 22,300 | 59,350 | 110,125 | 179,338 | 237,404 | 371,131 | 380,629 |
| Number of options outstanding at 31/12/2015 | 1,703,744 | 3,293,393 | 2,383,850 | 2,718,738 | 2,659,030 | 2,729,851 | 2,717,300 |
| Number of options exercised between 01/01/2016 and 31/01/2016 | 615 | 1,125 | | | | | |
| TOTAL OPTIONS OUTSTANDING AT 31/12/2015 | 18,205,906 |

(a) Including only executive directors and salaried directors currently in office.
(b) In accordance with law, the exercise prices and the number of options granted were adjusted on 15 November 2011 following the share repurchase tender offer.
(c) After the number of options was adjusted on 15 November 2011 following the share repurchase tender offer.
5.4.2.5 **Stock options granted to or exercised by the ten employees having received or exercised the most options in 2015**

Table 10 – Stock options granted to the ten Bouygues employees (not corporate officers) having received the largest number of options in 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employees</th>
<th>Company granting the options</th>
<th>Grant date</th>
<th>Number of options</th>
<th>Exercise price €</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pierre Auberger</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>28 May 2015</td>
<td>8,300</td>
<td>37.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacques Bernard</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>28 May 2015</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>37.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippe Bonnave</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>28 May 2015</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>37.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georges Colombani</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>28 May 2015</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>37.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmanuel Forest</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>28 May 2015</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>37.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean-François Guillemin</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>28 May 2015</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>37.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippe Marien</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>28 May 2015</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>37.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivier Roussat</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>28 May 2015</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>37.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean-Claude Tostivin</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>28 May 2015</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>37.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilles Zancanaro</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>28 May 2015</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>37.106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** 360,800

Table 10 a – Stock options exercised in 2015 by the ten Bouygues employees (not corporate officers) having exercised the largest number of options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employees</th>
<th>Company granting the options</th>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>Number of options exercised</th>
<th>Exercise price €</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pierre Auberger</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>14 June 2011</td>
<td>7,598</td>
<td>31.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacques Bernard</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>1 April 2009</td>
<td>30,389</td>
<td>25.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13 June 2012</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td>20.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gérard Bucourt</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>1 April 2009</td>
<td>12,156</td>
<td>25.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30 June 2010</td>
<td>11,143</td>
<td>34.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14 June 2011</td>
<td>8,408</td>
<td>31.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Brard</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>1 April 2009</td>
<td>9,117</td>
<td>25.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georges Colombani</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>1 April 2009</td>
<td>20,260</td>
<td>25.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13 June 2012</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>20.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean-François Guillemin</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>1 April 2009</td>
<td>35,648</td>
<td>25.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippe Marien</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>1 April 2009</td>
<td>101,296</td>
<td>25.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivier Roussat</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>1 April 2009</td>
<td>31,296</td>
<td>25.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14 June 2011</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>31.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean-Claude Tostivin</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>1 April 2009</td>
<td>50,648</td>
<td>25.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilles Zancanaro</td>
<td>Bouygues</td>
<td>30 June 2010</td>
<td>26,337</td>
<td>34.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** 439,796

In 2015, 2,373,664 Bouygues stock options were exercised by employees of Bouygues or one of its subsidiaries, including the ten employees of Bouygues mentioned above.
5.5 Information on auditors

5.5.1 Statutory auditors

- **Mazars**, 61 rue Henri Regnault, 92075 Paris-La Défense, France, appointed as statutory auditors at the Annual General Meeting on 10 June 1998, reappointed for a further six-year term at the Annual General Meeting on 22 April 2004 and then at the Annual General Meeting on 29 April 2010.
  Mazars are represented by Guillaume Potel.

- **Ernst & Young Audit**, Tour First, 1/2 Place des Saisons, 92400 Courbevoie, France, appointed as statutory auditors at the Annual General Meeting on 24 April 2003, reappointed for a further six-year term at the Annual General Meeting on 23 April 2009 and then at the Annual General Meeting on 29 April 2015.
  Ernst & Young Audit are represented by Laurent Vitse.
  Mazars and Ernst & Young Audit are members of the Versailles regional association of auditors.

5.5.2 Alternate auditors

- **Philippe Castagnac** (Mazars group), appointed as alternate auditor at the Annual General Meeting on 29 April 2010, for a six-year term.

- **Auditex (EY group)**, appointed as alternate auditor at the Annual General Meeting of 23 April 2009, reappointed for a further six-year term at the Annual General Meeting on 23 April 2015.

5.5.3 Fees paid by the Group to the auditors and members of their networks

The fees paid to each of the auditors and to the members of their networks by Bouygues and all fully consolidated Group companies are set forth in Note 22 to the consolidated financial statements (chapter 7, section 7.1, of this Registration Document).